CGHC010312422026 2026:CGHC:43169

SOURABH PATEL
Digitally signed by SOURABH PATEL
Date: 2026.10.06 15:02:15 +0530

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MAC No. 1929 of 2026

1 - Smt. Asha Devi W/o Patiram Sao, Aged About 51 Years, R/o 6 / 675, Nageshwar Nagar, Near Kabir Stambh, Birgaon, Urla, Tehsil And District Raipur (C.G.) (Owner).

2 - Patiram Sao, S/o Umashankar Sao, Aged About 56 Years, R/o 6 / 675, Nageshwar Nagar, Near Kabir Stambh, Birgaon, Urla, Tehsil And District Raipur (C.G.) (Driver).

... Appellants

versus

1 - Mahesh Kumar Dewangan S/o Late Ramhau Dewangan, Aged About 66 Years (Husband Of The Deceased), By Caste Koshta, Occupation - Tailor R/o Village - Riwagahan, Post - Pendri, Police Station - Lalbagh, Tehsil And District - Rajnandgaon (C.G.).

2 - Poonam Kumar Dewangan, S/o Mahesh Kumar Dewangan, Aged About 48 Years (Son Of The Deceased) By Caste - Kosta, Occupation - Daily Wager R/o Village - Riwagahan, Post - Pendri, Police Station - Lalbagh, Tehsil And District - Rajnandgaon (C.G.).

3 - Deepak Kumar Dewangan, S/o Mahesh Kumar Dewangan, Aged About 45 Years (Son Of The Deceased) By Caste - Kosta, Occupation - Daily Wager R/o Village - Riwagahan, Post - Pendri, Police Station - Lalbagh, Tehsil And District - Rajnandgaon (C.G.).

4 - Mukesh Kumar Dewangan, S/o Maesh Kumar Dewangan, Aged About 42 Years (Son Of The Deceased) By Caste - Kosta, Occupation - Daily Wager R/o Village - Riwagahan, Post - Pendri, Police Station - Lalbagh, Tehsil And District - Rajnandgaon (C.G.).

5 - Smt. Sangeeta Dewangan, W/o Radhelal Dewangan, Aged About 42 Years (Daughter Of The Deceased) By Caste - Kosta, Occupation - House Wife, R/o Village - Markaamtola, Post - Singarpur, Police Station - Khairagarh, Tehsil And District - Rajnandgaon (C.G.).

6 - Branch Manager, I C I C I Lombard, Address - Unit No. 304-306,

Third Floor, Lalganga Shopping Mall Road, G. E. Road, - Raipur, District - Raipur (C.G.) (Insurance Company).

... Respondent(s)

For Appellants : None.
For Respondents : None.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay Kumar Jaiswal

Order on Board

06/10/2026

1. Today, none appears on behalf of the appellants even when the case is called in the second round. It is further noted that on 25.08.2026, no one had appeared on behalf of the appellants. It appears that the appellants are not interested in prosecuting the present appeal.

2. In the absence of any representation on behalf of the appellants, this Court is left with no other option but to dismiss the appeal for want of prosecution.

3. Accordingly, the instant appeal is **dismissed** for want of prosecution.

Sd/-

(Sanjay Kumar Jaiswal)
Judge

$ourabh