CGHC010301642026 2026:CGHC:45567

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

CRR No.1016 of 2026

1 - XYZ Nil (in Observation Home)

Applicant/Accused (s)

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Station House Officer, Police Station, Vidhansabha, District - Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

Respondent(s)

For Applicant (s)	:	Mr. K. K. Dewangan, Advocate
For Respondent (s)	:	Mr. Khulesh Sahu, PL

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Naresh Kumar Chandravanshi

Order on Board

28/10/2026

1. This criminal revision has been preferred by the applicant/juvenile under Section 102 of the Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2015 (henceforth 'referred as 'JJ Act') challenging the order dated 16.07.2026 passed by the learned Children Court/Upper Session Judge (Fast Tract Court), Raipur (C.G.) in Criminal Appeal No.225/2026, whereby the appeal preferred by the applicant/juvenile has been rejected upholding the order

Digitally signed by R NIRALA

dated 02.06.2026 passed by the learned Principal Magistrate, Juvenile Justice Board, Raipur (C.G.) in Criminal Case No.240/2026, by which the bail application of the applicant/juvenile under Section 12 of the JJ Act was rejected.

2. The allegation against the applicant/juvenile is that on account of previous enmity, he stabbed the victim by serrated knife on his stomach, as such he received serious injuries, thereby he attempted to cause murder of the victim. Thereafter an FIR was lodged against the applicant at the Police Station Vidhansabha for the offence under Section 109 (1) of BNS. After investigation, charge sheet was filed against the applicant for the offence under Sections 109 & 118 (2) of BNS and Sections 25 & 27 of the Arms Act before the JJB, Raipur, which is pending consideration.

3. The bail application filed by the applicant/juvenile under Section 12 of the JJ Act was rejected by the Juvenile Justice Board, Raipur vide order dated 02.06.2026 and thereafter the appeal preferred by him has also been rejected vide impugned order dated 16.07.2026 (Annexure-A/1) by the learned Children Court/Upper Session Judge (Fast Tract Court), Raipur (C.G.). Hence this criminal revision.

4. Learned counsel for the applicant/juvenile would submit that the applicant is juvenile and he has been falsely implicated in the crime in question. He further submits that the incident occurred on trivial issue, the applicant/juvenile is in observation home since 06.03.2026 and the charge sheet has already been filed

and conclusion of trial may take long time, as such keeping applicant/juvenile for further period in the Observation Home may change his conduct and behavior, hence it is prayed that the orders passed by the learned Appellate Court as well as learned Juvenile Justice Board be set aside and the applicant/juvenile be enlarged on bail.

5. Per contra, learned State counsel opposes the bail application of the applicant/juvenile and submits that the applicant/juvenile, who is aged about 15 years and 8 months, had purchased 3 knives through online platform for causing the offence. He further submits that there is one more criminal antecedent against the applicant/juvenile bearing Crime No.84/2026 registered at Police Station Vidhansabha, Raipur for the offence punishable under Sections 115 (2), 119 (1), 296 & 351 (2) of BNS and social status report of the applicant/juvenile is also not positive. Therefore, the applicant/juvenile may not be released on bail.

6. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material available on record including case diary as well as social status report.

7. As per the social status report of the applicant/juvenile, the applicant/juvenile is addicted to intoxication and is also of quarrelsome nature, though his conduct has been mentioned good in the observation home, but there is another criminal antecedent against him and further also, as per the case diary,

he had purchased 3 knives through online platform for causing offence.

8. Having considered the aforesaid aspects of the matter, there is strong possibility that if the applicant/juvenile is released on bail, then he may come in contact with known criminals, therefore, this Court is not inclined to allow the instant revision.

9. Accordingly, the revision is dismissed. However, it is observed that if the case of the applicant/juvenile is not concluded within 4 months, then the applicant may file a fresh application before the concerned Juvenile Justice Board, which shall be considered and decided by the said JJB without being influenced by earlier order including this order.

Sd/-

(Naresh Kumar Chandravanshi)
Judge

Nirala