CGHC010284652026 2026:CGHC:44037

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

CRA No. 1939 of 2026

Nagma Ansari D/o Abdul Naseer Ansari, Aged About 27 Years R/o Hamal Para, Ward No. 26, Police Station Kotwali, District - Rajnandgaon Chhattisgarh **... Applicant**

Digitally signed by ALLENA ANJANI KUMAR
Date: 2026.10.12 18:37:35 +0530

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through S.H.O. Harijan Police Station, Rajnandgaon (C.G.)

2 - T. Shanthi Ratna Kumar S/o T. Jagdish Kumar, Aged About 43 Years R/o Permanent Address - 1-148, Kalvancha, Shanthi Nagar Vanasthali Puram, Hyderabad, Rangareddy, Andhra Pradesh -500070, Present Address Medical College, Doctors Quarter B-Block, 2nd Floor, Pendri, Rajnandgaon - 491441 (C.G.) **... Non-applicants**

For Applicant	**:** None.
For Non-applicant 1/State	**:** Shri Jitendra Shrivastava, G.A. and Shri Kanwaljeet Singh Saini, Dy. G.A.

(HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE RAKESH MOHAN PANDEY)

Order on Board

12/10/2026 (Later on at 2:15 pm)

1. The instant criminal appeal filed by the appellant under Section 14-A(2) of the Scheduled Caste and Scheduled Tribe (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 (for short, the Act, 1989) is for grant of regular bail to him, who has been arrested in connection with Crime No. Nil (B.P.No.315/2026), registered at Harijan Police Station Rajnandgaon (C.G.)

2. In the first round, counsel for the appellant is absent. However, the

complainant along with the Investigating Officer appeared before this Court through the video conferencing and stated that till date, no FIR has been registered against the appellant, to which, the Investigating Officer endorsed the statement made by the complainant.

3. Even in second round, none appeared for the appellant when the matter is called.

4. Learned counsel for the State would submit that till now F.I.R. has not been registered against the present appellant and this appeal has been preferred by the appellant seeking anticipatory bail in the event of arrest of the appellant

5. I have heard learned counsel appearing for the State and perused the documents annexed with the appeal.

6. Having considered the fact that till date no F.I.R. has been registered against the appellant, as stated by the complainant and this fact has been endorsed by the Investigating Officer, who appeared through video conferencing; and even copy of F.I.R. has also not been filed and that, there is no representation on behalf of the appellant even when the matter is called twice and on this count alone, the appeal is liable to be dismissed.

7. Accordingly, this appeal is hereby dismissed for want of prosecution.

Sd/-

(Rakesh Mohan Pandey)
JUDGE

Anjani