CGHC010277612026 2026:CGHC:46442

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 6961 of 2026

- Dale Singh S/o Shri Jawan Singh Aged About 40 Years R/o Khandar, Police Station Pagarisa, District- Jhalwad (Rajasthan)

--- Applicant

versus

- State Of Chhattisgarh Through Station House Officer, Police Of Police Station Singhoda, District- Mahasamund (C.G.)

--- Non-Applicant

MCRC No. 8167 of 2026

- Vishnu Sharma S/o Ramgopal Sharma Aged About 38 Years R/o Soni Brahman Mohalla, Thana- Pagariya Distt- Jhalawar (Rajsthan)

---Applicant

Versus

- State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Station House Officer, Police Station Singhoda Distt- Mahasamund (C.G.)

--- Non-Applicant

MCRC No. 6961 of 2026

For Applicant : Mr. Shikhar Sharma, Advocate
For State : Ms. Laxmin Kashyap, Panel Lawyer

MCRC No. 8167 of 2026

For Applicant : Mr. Sumit Shrivastava, Advocate
For State : Ms. Laxmin Kashyap, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Shri Justice Rakesh Mohan Pandey

Order on Board

03.11.2026

MCRC No. 6961 of 2026

1. This is the first bail application filed under Section 483 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail to the applicant who has been arrested in connection with Crime No. 72/2024 registered at Police Station Singhoda, District Mahasamund (C.G.), for the offence punishable under Section 20(b)(ii)(B) of NDPS Act.

MCRC No. 8167 of 2026

2. This is the first bail application filed under Section 483 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail to the applicant who has been arrested in connection with Crime No. 72/2024 registered at Police Station Singhoda, District Mahasamund (C.G.), for the offence punishable under Sections 20(b)(ii)(B) and 29 of NDPS Act.

3. The case of the prosecution, in brief, is that the police of Police Station Singhoda, District Mahasamund received an information from the informant and on the basis of the said information conducted a raid and seized 8 Kg. of Ganja from joint possession of the present applicants. Thereafter, Police has registered aforesaid offence against the applicants.

4. In MCRC No. 6961 of 2026, learned counsel for the applicant submits that applicant has falsely been implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that intermediate quantity of ganja was seized from the joint possession of the applicants Dale Singh and Vishnu Sharma. Mr. Shikhar Sharma submits that applicant has five criminal antecedents, out of which three cases have already been disposed of and there is no pending case of similar nature. He would contend that applicant is in jail since 20.06.2024 and trial is likely to take some time to conclude. Thus, he prays for grant of

regular bail.

5. In MCRC No. 8167 of 2026, Mr. Sumit Shrivastava submits that the applicant has falsely been implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that an intermediate quantity of ganja was seized from the joint possession of the applicants Dale Singh and Vishnu Sharma. He submits that the applicant has no criminal antecedents of similar nature and he is in jail since 20.06.2024. He would submit that trial is likely to take some time to conclude. Thus, he prays for grant of regular bail.

6. On the other hand, learned counsel for the State would oppose the bail applications and submits that charge-sheet has already been filed before the competent Court. She further submits that ten criminal antecedents have been reported against applicant Dale Singh, whereas he has disclosed only five of them by filing an additional affidavit. Likewise, five criminal antecedents have been reported against applicant Vishnu Sharma, but he has not disclosed any of them, therefore, she submits that applicants are not entitled for grant of bail.

7. I have heard learned counsel appearing for the parties and perused the case diary.

8. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, nature and gravity of allegation levelled against the present applicants and fact that ten criminal antecedents have been reported against applicant Dale Singh, whereas he has disclosed only five antecedents by filing an additional affidavit, out of which two cases are still pending consideration and there is no explanation with regard to remaining five criminal antecedents. Similarly, five criminal antecedents have been reported against applicant Vishnu

Sharma, but he failed to disclose any criminal antecedents.

9. Accordingly, both the bail applications are hereby **rejected** at this stage. However, the applicants would be at liberty to file better applications explaining all their respective criminal antecedents.

Sd/-
(Rakesh Mohan Pandey)
JUDGE

$iddhant