CGHC010252542026 2026:CGHC:43299

Digitally signed by RAMESH KUMAR VATTI
Date: 2026.10.07 17:49:26 +0530

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 5907 of 2026

*** -** Laxman Korwa S/o Mangalram Korwa, Aged About 34 Years Caste - Korwa, R/o Village - Ganeshpur, Police Station- Dharamjaigarh, District - Raigarh (C.G.)

... Applicant

Versus

*** -** State Of Chhattisgarh Through Police Station Dharamjaigarh, District Raigarh (C.G.)

... Non-Applicant

For Applicant	**:**	Mr. Dhirendra Prasad Mishra, Advocate
For Non-Applicant	**:**	Mr. Jitendra Shrivastava, Government Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Rakesh Mohan Pandey
Order on Board

06/10/2026

1. The applicant has preferred this first bail application under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 as he is in jail since 01.01.2023 in connection with Crime No. 02/2023 registered in Police Station – Dharamjaigarh, District Raigarh (CG) for commission of offence punishable under Section 302 of IPC.

2. As per case of prosecution, an F.I.R. was lodged by the complainant namely Kunjram Korwa at Police Station- Dharamjaigarh to the effect that on 01.01.2023 at around 03:00 am, a quarrel tool place between the applicant and his wife for non-preparation of food, due to which the

applicant enraged and assaulted his wife namely Sundar Moti using hands and fist and thereafter he caught hold of her head and struck it against the wall 2-3 times, causing bleeding injuries on her head. Deceased- Sundar Moti succumbed to those injuries. On the basis of said report, the Police registered the offence as stated above.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the applicant would submit that the applicant is an innocent person and has falsely been implicated in the crime in question. He would contend that there are total 14 witnesses, but single witness has not been examined yet, he is in jail since 01.01.2023, charge-sheet has already been filed and trial is likely to take some time for its final disposal. Therefore, the applicant may be released on bail by this Court.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel appearing for the non-applicant/State would oppose the bail application. He would submit that prior to the death of the deceased, the applicant was in his own house, but he failed to explain cause of death of his wife. He would contend that the bail application of the applicant deserves to be rejected.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the case diary.

6. Having considered the injuries sustained by the deceased, prior to the death of the deceased the applicant was in his own house, but he failed to explain cause of death of his wife, the manner in which the deceased died, the postmortem report revealed that cause of death was cardio-respiratory arrest due to excessive loss of blood, which was a result of injuries present over the body and death was homicidal in

nature, further taking note of confessional statement of applicant, I am not inclined to grant bail to the applicant.

7. Accordingly, the bail application is **dismissed**.

8. As the applicant is in jail from 01.01.2023 and there are total 14 witnesses, but single witness has not been examined yet, therefore, the learned trial Court is directed to conclude the trial within period of 06 months from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

Sd/-
(Rakesh Mohan Pandey)
Judge

vatti