CGHC010193472018 2026:CGHC:46182

**NAFR**

# HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

## WPC No. 1898 of 2018

Indian Bank, New Khursipar Bhilai, Through Its Senior Manager Bhilai, District Durg, (C.G.) **... Petitioner**

**versus**

Digitally signed by ALLENA ANJANI KUMAR
Date: 2026.11.03 10:44:26 +0530

**1 -** State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary Dept. Of Revenue Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan Naya Raipur Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh

**2 -** Collector Durg District Durg Chhattisgarh.

**3 -** Superintendent Of Police , Durg District Durg Chhattisgarh.

**4 -** Sub Divisional Officer ( Revenue ) Bhilai District Durg Chhattisgarh., District : Durg, Chhattisgarh

**5 -** Tahisldar Bhilai District Durg Chhattisgarh.

**6 -** Shri Abid Noor Khan S/o Mohammed Hameed Khan Aged About 34 Years R/o Shankar Nagar Chhawni, Bhilai Ward No. 28 C/o National Electricals Tah/district Durg Chhattisgarh., District : Durg, Chhattisgarh

**... Respondents**

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| For Petitioner | **:** | Shri Faiz Kazi, Advocate. |
| For Respondents 1 to 5/State | **:** | Shri Dharmesh Shrivastava, Deputy A.G. |

**(HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE RAKESH MOHAN PANDEY)**

**Order on Board**

**02/11/2026**

1. The petitioner has filed this petition seeking the following reliefs:

   i. The petitioners most respectfully pray to this Hon'ble Court to direct the Respondent Revenue Authorities to get the legally purchased land of the Respondent No.6 identified and demarcated.

ii. The petitioner further prays to direct the respondent no.3 to refrain from harassing the petitioner Bank officials as they have no role to play in the identification, demarcation etc. and other procedural works of the revenue officials.

Iii. Any other relief(s) that this Hon'ble Court may deem fit under the facts and circumstances of the case.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner may be permitted to make an application for demarcation of the subject property. He would contend that a direction may be issued to the Revenue Authorities to act upon such application if it is moved before the competent Revenue Authority for demarcation.

3. On the other hand, learned counsel for the State would contend that till date, the petitioner has not moved any application for demarcation, therefore, such direction may not be issued.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the documents annexed therewith.

5. Having considered the submissions made by Shri Kazi, learned counsel for the petitioner, it is directed that in the eventuality of any application for demarcation moved by the petitioner before the competent Revenue Authority, it is expected that such Revenue Authority would take appropriate decision strictly in accordance with law.

6. In view of above direction, this petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-

(Rakesh Mohan Pandey)

**JUDGE**

Anjani