CGHC010184442024 2026:CGHC:44271

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 3000 of 2024

Badri Prasad Gautam S/o Kamla Prasad Dewangan Aged About 62 Years Resident of Vidya Nagar, Pendra, District : Gaurela-Pendra-Marwahi, Chhattisgarh

... Petitioner

versus

1 - State of C.G. Through The Secretary, Public Education Department, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, Atal Nagar, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh

2 - Director Public Education Department, Mantralaya, Indrawati Bhawan, Naya Raipur, Atal Nagar, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh

3 - District Education Officer District - Gaulera - Pendra - Marwahi, Chhattisgarh

... Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Siddharth Rathod, Advocate
For State/Respondent	:	Ms. Vertika Shrivastava, PL

(Hon'ble Shri Justice Bibhu Datta Guru)

Order on Board

13/10/2026

1. The present writ petition has been filed by the petitioner against the inaction on the part of the respondents in not considering the petitioner as trained Teacher.

ASHUTOSH MISHRA
Digitally signed by ASHUTOSH MISHRA
Date: 2026.10.14 10:45:39 +0530

2. According to the Counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner is trying to take the benefit which falls from the circular of the State Government dated 22.11.1979 which specifically envisages waving of undergoing the training process for declaring a teacher as a trained teacher in the event if the teacher has completed either 20 years of service or has crossed the age of 50 years.

3. According to the counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner herein has already crossed the age of 50 years and has completed more than 20 years of service. Thus, according to the petitioner, in view of the circular of the State Government, the petitioner has become entitled to be declared as trained teachers.

4. At this juncture, the limited prayer that counsel for the petitioner prays for is that he has already represented to the higher authorities in the department and he may further be made to make a fresh representation to the higher authorities supported with all relevant documents and which the Authorities concerned may consider in accordance with rules, regulations and circulars governing the field and in case if the petitioner is found entitled for the benefit, the same be extended at the earliest.

5. The limited prayer sought for by the petitioner is not opposed by the State counsel, except for the fact that contentions raised by the petitioner need to be verified from the service records of the petitioner.

6. Given the said submissions by the counsel for the parties, the writ petition stands disposed of directing respondent no.1 & 2 to take an appropriate decision in case of the petitioners deciding as to whether the

petitioner would be entitled for the exemption for being declared as Trained Teachers or not?

7. Let an appropriate decision be taken in this regard at the earliest preferably within a period of 60 days from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

8. The writ petition accordingly stands disposed of.

SD/-
(Bibhu Datta Guru)
JUDGE

ashu