CGHC010137892016 2026:CGHC:45137

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

SA No. 162 of 2016

1 - Mahadeo And Others S/o Late Baisakhu, Aged About 46 Years Caste Satnami, R/o Village Umreli, Tahsil Kartala, District Korba, Chhattisgarh, Chhattisgarh

2 - Sahdeo S/o Late Baisakhu, Aged About 36 Years Caste Satnami, R/o Village Umreli, Tahsil Kartala, District Korba, Chhattisgarh, District : Korba, Chhattisgarh

SAIFAN KHAN Digitally signed by SAIFAN KHAN

3 - Nakul S/o Late Baisakhu, Aged About 33 Years Caste Satnami, R/o Village Umreli, Tahsil Kartala, District Korba, Chhattisgarh, District : Korba, Chhattisgarh

4 - Gokul S/o Late Baisakhu, Aged About 31 Years Caste Satnami, R/o Village Umreli, Tahsil Kartala, District Korba, Chhattisgarh, District : Korba, Chhattisgarh

5 - Smt. Ram Bai W/o Bhagela, Aged About 46 Years Caste Satnami, R/o Village Umreli, Tahsil Kartala, District Korba, Chhattisgarh .....Defendants, District : Korba, Chhattisgarh

... Appellants

Versus

1 - Punau Ram Dewangan And Others S/o Late Mulluram, Aged About 49 Years Caste Dewangan, R/o Village Umreli, Tahsil Kartala, District Korba, Chhattisgarh, Chhattisgarh

2 - Lakhesar Dewangan S/o Late Mulluram, Aged About 31 Years Caste Dewangan, R/o Village Umreli, Tahsil Kartala, District Korba, Chhattisgarh, District : Korba, Chhattisgarh

3 - Madhusudan Dewangan S/o Late Mulluram, Aged About 28 Years Caste Dewangan, R/o Village Umreli, Tahsil Kartala, District Korba, Chhattisgarh, District : Korba, Chhattisgarh

4 - State Of Chhattisgarh, Through The Collector, Korba, District Korba, Chhattisgarh .....Plaintiffs, District : Korba, Chhattisgarh

... Respondents

Cause-title taken from Case Information System (CIS)

For Appellant : None in two rounds.
For Respondent/State : Ms. K. Radhika, Panel Lawyer

Single Bench: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Sushma Sawant

Order of Board

26.10.2026

1. This second appeal has been preferred by the appellant/defendants under Section 100 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (for short the "CPC") feeling aggrieved by judgment and decree dated 21.01.2016, passed by the first appellate Court in CA No.7-A/2014, by which their first appeal challenging the judgment and decree dated 30.06.2011, passed by the learned trial Court in CS No.60-A/2009, has been dismissed.

2. However, none appeared for the appellants in today in two rounds when the matter was called. Further, it transpires from the record of the case that on the last date of listing of this matter also i.e. on 20.02.2023, there was no representation on behalf of the appellants. It appears that the appellants have lost interest in further prosecuting this matter.

3. Under such circumstances, the Court is left with no other option but to **dismiss this appeal for want of prosecution**. It is ordered accordingly.

sd/-
(Sushma Sawant)
JUDGE

S@if