CGHC010079662019 2026:CGHC:45829

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

SA No. 197 of 2019

1 - Smt. Sayrabano D/o Late Mursaleem Aged About 51 Years W/o Late Sattar Ali, R/o Manpur, Surajpur, Tahsil, P.S. and District - Surajpur Chhattisgarh.

2 - Mubarak Ali S/o Late Mursaleem Aged About 55 Years R/o Village Bhaurahi, Tahsil Surajpur, District - Surajpur Chhattisgarh.

PRAKASH KUMAR
Digitally signed by PRAKASH KUMAR
Date: 2026.10.29 16:52:43 +0530

3 - Ahmad Ali S/o Late Mursaleem Aged About 46 Years R/o Village Bhaurahi, Tahsil Surajpur, District - Surajpur Chhattisgarh.

4 - Rashid S/o Late Mursaleem Aged About 31 Years R/o Village Bhaurahi, Tahsil Surajpur, District – Surajpur, Chhattisgarh,

... Appellants

versus

1 - Smt. Aamna D/o Late Allauddin Aged About 60 Years R/o Village Bhaurahi, Tahsil And District - Surajpur Chhattisgarh. ---(Plaintiffs),

2 - Naseeran D/o Allauddin Aged About 52 Years R/o Village Devnagar, Tahsil And District - Surajpur Chhattisgarh.

3 - Tahiroon S/o Allauddin Aged About 45 Years R/o Village Novopara, Khurd, Tahsil Ramanuj Nagar, District - Surajpur Chhattisgarh,

4 - Mahiroon D/o Allauddin Aged About 42 Years R/o Village Bhaurahi, Tahsil And District - Surajpur Chhattisgarh.

5 - Fareed S/o Allauddin Aged About 50 Years R/o Village Bhaurahi, Tahsil And District - Surajpur Chhattisgarh.

6 - Biten D/o Allauddin Aged About 35 Years R/o Village Bhaurahi, Tahsil and District - Surajpur Chhattisgarh.

7 - Samsul S/o Hazrat Aged About 75 Years R/o Village Bhaurahi, Tahsil And District - Surajpur Chhattisgarh.

8 - State of Chhattisgarh Through Collector, Surajpur, District - Surajpur Chhattisgarh.

... Respondents

For Appellants	**:** None
For Respondent No.8/State	**:** Ms. Deepa Singh, P.L.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sachin Singh Rajput,

Order on Board

29/10/2026

1. On 07.10.2026, since no one appeared on behalf of the appellants, this Court directed this matter to be heard on today with an observation that if no representation is made on behalf of the appellant, this Court may pass an appropriate order. When the matter is called twice in the 1st half, none appeared on behalf of the appellants. Thus, the matter was directed to be called in the 2nd half with the same observation.
2. Later on, when the matter is called in the 2nd half, none appeared on behalf of the appellants nor any representation is made. Thus, this Court is left with no other option but to dismiss the case for non-prosecution.
3. Accordingly, the second appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution.

Sd/-

(Sachin Singh Rajput)

Judge

Prakash