NIRMALA
RAO

CGHC010010522017 2026:CGHC:43080

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPC No. 487 of 2017

1 - Beniram Sahu (Died) Through Lrs. (As Per Hon'ble Court Order Dated 14-11-2024)

1.1 - (A) Rupesh Kumar Sahu S/o Beniram Sahu Aged About 50 Years R/o Laxmi Niwas, Near Balram Talkies, Bhakt Kanwar Ram Nagar, Sindhi Colony, Jarhabhatha, Bilaspur, Tahsil And District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.

2 - (Deleted) Halalkhor (Dead) As Per Court Order Dt. 18-04-2019., District : Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh

3 - Hemsingh, (Died Through Lrs) As Pre Hon'ble Court Order Dated 05-10-2026., District : Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

3.1 - Kanhaiya Lal S/o Hemsingh Aged About 48 Years R/o A 16, Second Floor, Bombay Awas Shankar Nagar, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh.

4 - Ajitram, S/o Halalkhor, Aged About 45 Years R/o Village Kumhalori, Post Surgi, Civil And Revenue District Rajnandgaon Chhattisgarh., District : Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh

... Petitioner(s)

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Public Works Department, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur Chhattisgarh., Chhattisgarh

2 - The Collector, Rajnandgaon, District Rajnandgaon Chhattisgarh., District : Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh

3 - Sub Divisional Officer Revenue , Rajnandgaon, District Rajnandgaon Chhattisgarh., District : Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh

4 - The Tahsildar, Rajnandgaon, District Rajnandgaon Chhattisgarh., District : Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh

5 - The Executive Engineer, Public Works Deptt., Over Bridge Construction Division, Rajnandgaon, District Rajnandgaon Chhattisgarh., District : Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh

... Respondent(s)

For petitioner/s	:	Shri Punit Ruparel, Advocate.
For Respondent/ State	:	Shri Keshav Gupta, G.A.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Rakesh Mohan Pandey

Order on Board

05.10.2026

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the dispute between the parties has already been settled on 8.9.2025 in the Special Mediation Drive – “Mediation for the Nation”.
2. Learned counsel appearing for the State would endorse the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners.
3. Heard.
4. Having considered the fact that the dispute between the parties has already been settled through mediation on 8.9.2025 in the Special Mediation Drive – “Mediation for the Nation”, this Court is of the view that no further adjudication is required in the matter.
5. Accordingly, this petition is disposed of.

Sd/-

(Rakesh Mohan Pandey)
Judge

Nimmi