IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
BLAPL No.12302 of 2026
CNR No. ODHC010894022026

Chandan Kumar Das & Anr. **.....** ***Petitioners***

Represented by Adv. - Sahasransu Sourav

-versus-

State of Odisha **.....** ***Opposite Party***

Represented by Adv. -Mr. M.K.Mohanty, A.S.C.

CORAM:
MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR MOHAPATRA

ORDER

Order No. **20.11.2026**

01. 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual /Physical Mode).

2. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioners and learned counsel for the State. Perused the materials placed before this Court.

3. The present bail application under Section 483 of BNSS, has been filed by the Petitioners for regular bail relating to Handapa P.S Case No.314 dated 24.08.2026 corresponding to G.R Case No.1165 of 2026 pending before the learned S.D.J.M., Athmallik for alleged commission of offence punishable under Sections 318(4)/3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

4. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the Petitioners that the F.I.R. was registered against unknown accused persons, and the Petitioners have been taken on remand since 07.09.2026. The learned counsel for the Petitioners submitted that the Petitioners are

belonging to locality; therefore, there is no chance of their absconding. The learned counsel for the Petitioners submitted that although the Petitioners have some criminal antecedents, they are ready and willing to abide by any terms and conditions as this Court deems fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case. The learned counsel for the Petitioners further contended that the alleged stolen gold chain has already been recovered in the meantime. On such grounds, the learned counsel for the Petitioners prayed for release of the Petitioners on bail.

5. Learned counsel for the State, on the other hand, opposed the bail application of the Petitioners on the ground of gravity and seriousness of the allegation. He further contended that the Petitioners are having similar criminal antecedents; no leniency should be shown to the Petitioners. In the event, the Petitioners be released of bail, there is every likelihood that they might abscond or indulge in similar criminal offences. In such view of the matter, she submitted that the bail application of the Petitioners be rejected at this juncture.

6. Having heard the learned counsels appearing for the respective parties and on a careful examination of the surrounding facts and circumstances of the present case, further keeping in view the period of detention of the Petitioners in jail custody as well as the alleged gold chain has already been recovered, this Court is inclined to release the Petitioners on bail subject to the Petitioners furnishing bail bond of Rs.40,000/- (Rupees Forty Thousand) each with two solvent sureties each for the like amount to the satisfaction of the learned court in seisin over the matter.

7. The release of the Petitioners shall also be subject to such other

terms and conditions as is deemed just and proper by the court in seisin over the matter. Violation of any of the terms and conditions shall entail cancellation of bail.

8. It is further directed that the bail granted to the Petitioners shall be subject to depositing a cash security of Rs.3,000/-(Rupees Three Thousand) each before the learned court in seisin over the matter, which shall be kept in any Nationalized Bank in interest bearing account initially for a period of one year which will be renewable from time to time till conclusion of trial and the same shall be abide the final outcome of the trial of the case.

9. Taking into consideration to the backgrounds of the present case, this Court directed the learned Trial Court to impose an additional condition to the Petitioners i.e., the Petitioners shall appear before the concerned police station once in a fortnight preferably on Sunday between 10.00 A.M. to 01.00 P.M. for a period of three months and thereafter once in a month for another three months.

10. The BLAPL is, accordingly, disposed of.

Urgent certified copy of this order be granted as per rules.

(A.K. Mohapatra)

Judge

Rubi

Signature Not Verified
Digitally Signed
Signed by: RUBI BEHERA
Reason: Authentication
Location: OHC
Date: 20-Nov-2026 18:14:42