IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
BLAPL No.12105 of 2026
CNR No. ODHC010880182026

Krushna Kalasa @ Kalia **.....** ***Petitioner***

Represented by Adv. -
Jayadeba Behera

-versus-

State of Odisha **.....** ***Opposite Party***

Represented by Adv. -
Mr. M.R. Patra, A.S.C.

CORAM:
MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR MOHAPATRA

ORDER

Order No. **12.11.2026**

01. 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual /Physical Mode).

2. Heard learned counsel appearing for the Petitioner and learned Additional Standing Counsel appearing for the State-Opposite Party. Perused the materials placed before this Court.

3. The present bail application under Section 483 of B.N.S.S. has been filed by the Petitioner for regular bail in connection with G.R. Case No.576 of 2026, arising out of Chandpur P.S. Case No.246 of 2026, pending in the Court of learned J.M.F.C., Ranpur for alleged commission of offence punishable under Sections 126(2), 296, 132, 221 351(3), and 3(5) of the BNS read with Section 52(a)(i) of the Odisha Excise Act, 2008.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the Petitioner that

the Petitioner is in custody since 19.10.2026. He further submitted that, although the investigation has substantially progressed, the final P.R. has not yet been submitted. Learned counsel for the Petitioner further contended that, though the Petitioner has two criminal antecedents, he is ready and willing to abide by any stringent terms and conditions that may be imposed by this Court while granting bail. He further submitted that the Petitioner belongs to the locality, therefore, there is no chance of his absconding.

5. Learned Additional Standing Counsel on the other hand opposed the bail application of the Petitioner on the ground that in the event the Petitioner is released on bail, there is a possibility that he might indulge in similar criminal offences. Accordingly, it was prayed that the bail application of the Petitioner be rejected at this juncture.

6. Having heard the learned counsels appearing for the respective parties and on a careful examination of the surrounding facts and circumstances of the present case and further keeping the view the period of detention of the Petitioner in jail custody and the fact that the Petitioner is having two criminal antecedents, this Court is inclined to release the Petitioner on bail subject to imposition of stringent conditions.

7. Hence, it is directed that the Petitioner be released on bail in the aforesaid case on furnishing bail bond of Rs.35,000/- (Rupees Thirty-Five Thousand) with two local solvent sureties each for the like amount to the satisfaction of the Court in seisin

over the matter. It is open for the Court in seisin over the matter to impose any other conditions as may be deemed just and proper.

8. It is further directed that the bail granted to the Petitioner is subject to the condition that the court below shall verify whether the Petitioner is having any criminal antecedent. In the event it is found that the Petitioner is having more than two criminal antecedents, this bail order shall automatically stand revoked.

9. It is further directed that the bail granted to the Petitioner is subject to depositing a cash security of Rs.2,000/- (Rupees Two Thousand) before the learned Court in seisin over the matter, which shall be kept in any Nationalized bank in interest bearing account initially for a period of one year which will be renewable from time to time till conclusion of trial and the same shall be abide by the final outcome of the trial of the case.

10. The BLAPL is, accordingly, disposed of.

(A.K. Mohapatra)

Judge

Rubi

Signature Not Verified
Digitally Signed
Signed by: RUBI BEHERA
Reason: Authentication
Location: OHC
Date: 13-Nov-2026 12:26:35