IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
BLAPL No.12149 of 2026
CNR No. ODHC010875122026

1) Bipinbihari @ Bipin Bihari Behera ..... ***Petitioners***

2) Bikash Pradhan

Represented by Adv. - Bibhuti Bhusan Behera

-versus-

State Of Odisha ..... ***Opposite Party***

Represented by Adv. – Sasmita Nayak, A.S.C.

CORAM:
MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR MOHAPATRA

ORDER
25.11.2026

Order No.

01. 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Mode.

2. Heard learned counsel appearing for the Petitioners and learned Additional Standing Counsel appearing for the State-Opposite Party. Perused the materials placed before this Court.

3. The present bail application under Section 483 of B.N.S.S. has been filed by the Petitioners for regular bail in connection with C.T. Case No.106 of 2026, arising out of Kundheigola P.S. Case No.52 of 2026, pending in the Court of learned J.M.F.C., Reamal for alleged commission of offence punishable under Sections 303(2)/3(5) of B.N.S.

4. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the Petitioners that the Petitioners are in custody since 10.09.2026. He further

contended that in the meantime investigation has been concluded and the final charge sheet has been filed. Learned counsel for the Petitioners that the Petitioner No.1 does not have any criminal antecedent and the Petitioner No.2 is having one criminal antecedent involving offence under Section 506 of IPC. He further submitted that both the Petitioners belong to the locality and that they are engaged on contractual basis by the electricity company, therefore, there is no chance of their absconding. He further submitted that the Petitioners have been falsely implicated in the present case. On such ground, learned counsel for the Petitioners prayed for release of the Petitioners on bail.

5. Learned Additional Standing Counsel, on the other hand, opposed the bail application of the Petitioners on the ground of gravity and seriousness of the allegation. She further submitted that in the event the Petitioners are released on bail there is every likelihood that they might abscond which would eventually cause delay in conclusion of the trial. On such ground, learned counsel for the State prayed for rejection of the bail application of the Petitioners.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the respective parties and on careful consideration of the surrounding facts as well as materials on record, further keeping in view the fact that the investigation has been concluded and the final charge sheet has been filed, this Court is inclined to release the Petitioners on bail subject to imposition of stringent conditions.

7. Hence, it is directed that each of the Petitioners be released on bail in the aforesaid case on furnishing a bail bond of Rs.35,000/- (Rupees Thirty-Five thousand) with two solvent

sureties each for the like amount to the satisfaction of the learned court in seisin over the matter.

8. It is open for the Court in seisin over the matter to impose any other conditions as may be deemed just and proper. Violation of any other conditions shall entail cancellation of the bail application.

9. It is further directed that the bail granted to the Petitioners shall be subject to the verification of their criminal antecedents. In the event, the Petitioner No.1 is having any criminal antecedent and the Petitioner No.2 is having more than one criminal antecedent, then this order shall stand automatically revoked.

10. The BLAPL is, accordingly, disposed of.

(A.K. Mohapatra)
Judge

Anil

Signature Not Verified
Digitally Signed
Signed by: ANIL KUMAR SAHOO
Reason: Authentication
Location: High Court of Orissa, Cuttack
Date: 25-Nov-2026 17:51:55