IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
BLAPL No.11911 of 2026
CNR No. ODHC010865452026

Lipun@dipun@santosh Das **.....** ***Petitioner***

Represented by
Adv. - Jugala
Kishore Panda

-versus-

STATE OF ODISHA **.....** ***Opposite Parties***

Represented by Adv. –
J.K. Khandayatray,
ASC

CORAM:
MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR MOHAPATRA

ORDER
25.11.2026

Order No.

02. 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual /Physical Mode).

2. Heard learned counsel appearing for the Petitioner and learned Additional Standing Counsel appearing for the State-Opposite Party. Perused the materials placed before this Court.

3. The present bail application under Section 483 of B.N.S.S. has been filed by the Petitioner for regular bail in connection with G.R. Case No.284 of 2026, arising out of Khmakhyanagar P.S. Case No.162 of 2026, pending in the Court of learned S.D.J.M., Kamakhyanagar for alleged commission of offence punishable under Sections 310(2) of BNS read with sections 25/27 of Arms Act.

4. It is stated by the learned counsel for the Petitioner that the Petitioner is languishing in custody since 12.03.2026. He further contended that although the Petitioner is having one criminal antecedent, however he is ready and willing to abide by any stringent terms and conditions that would impose by this Court, in the event, he is released on bail. Learned counsel for the Petitioner further contended that the Petitioner belongs to locality, therefore there is no chance of absconding. Further referring to the allegation made in the FIR, learned counsel for the Petitioner submitted before this Court that initially the FIR was lodged against unknown accused persons. He further contended that as per the FIR allegation the Petitioner along with another accused person forcible took away loaded highway trucks. He further contended that although the vehicles have been seized in the meantime, the Petitioner is languishing in custody since the date of his arrest. It is also brought to the notice of this Court that in the meantime, the investigation has been concluded and final charge-sheet has been filed. Though charge-sheet has been filed the trial has not yet commenced. On the ground, learned counsel for the Petitioner prayed for release of the Petitioner on bail subject to any stringent terms and conditions. It is specifically stated by learned counsel for the Petitioner that though the FIR was lodged against unknown accused persons, however after the Petitioner was apprehending the present case and no T.I. parade has been conducted which facts is not disputed by the learned counsel for the State.

5. Learned Additional Standing Counsel on the other hand objected to release the Petitioner on bail on the ground of gravity and seriousness of the allegation. He further submitted that in the event the Petitioner is released on bail there is every likelihood that either he might abscond from justice or indulge in similar criminal activities. Therefore, on such ground, learned counsel for the State prayed for rejection of the bail application.

6. Having regard to the submission made by the learned counsels appearing for both sides and on careful examination of the nature and gravity of the allegation and the fact the stolen has already been recovered and charge-sheet has already been filed in the meantime, this Court is inclined to release the Petitioner on bail subject to imposition of stringent conditions.

7. Hence, it is directed that the Petitioner be released on bail in the aforesaid case on furnishing a bail bond of Rs.45,000/- (Rupees Forty-five thousand) with two solvent sureties each for the like amount to the satisfaction of the learned court in seisin over the matter. The release of the Petitioner shall also be subject to such other terms and conditions as would be fixed by the court in seisin over the matter. Violation of any condition shall entail cancellation of bail.

8. It is further directed that the bail granted to the Petitioner is subject to the condition that the court below shall verify whether the Petitioner is having any criminal antecedent of similar nature. In the event it is found that the Petitioner is having more than one criminal antecedent, this bail order shall automatically stand revoked.

9. The BLAPL is, accordingly, disposed of.

(A.K. Mohapatra)
Judge

Sisir

Signature Not Verified
Digitally Signed
Signed by: SISIR KUMAR SETHI
Designation: Personal Assistant
Reason: Authentication
Location: ORISSA HIGH COURT
Date: 26-Nov-2026 13:41:03