IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

ABLAPL No. 12701 of 2026
CNR No. ODHC010857072026

1. ***Trilochan Mohapatra***
2. ***Jabrubahana Mohapatra @ BabruBahan Mohapatra @ Mahapatra***

.... ***Petitioners***

Mr. S. Mishra, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha .... ***Opposite Party***

Mr. S.K. Lenka, ASC
Mr. B.K. Ragada, Adv. (Informant)

CORAM: JUSTICE V. NARASINGH

ORDER
10.11.2026

Order No.

01. 1. Learned counsel Mr. Ragada has entered appearance on behalf of the Informant by filing Vakalatanama. The same is taken on record.

2. Name of learned counsel Mr. Ragada be reflected in the file, cause list as well as web portal of this Court.

3. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioners, learned counsel for the State and learned counsel for the Informant.

4. The Petitioners are seeking pre-arrest bail in connection with C.T. Case No.1791 of 2026 pending on the file of learned J.M.F.C.-II, (Cog. Taking),

Bhubaneswar, arising out of Infocity P.S. Case No.629 of 2026 for commission of offences punishable under Section 296/3(5)/190/191(2)/191(3)/109(1) of BNS read with Section 25(1)(a) of the Arms Act.

5. While opposing the prayer for pre-arrest bail, it is submitted by the learned counsel for the State as well as informant that taking into account the nature of allegation and the manner in which the injuries have been inflicted and the criminal proclivity of the Petitioners, they ought not to be enlarged on pre-arrest bail.

6. So far as antecedents are concerned, the Petitioners are stated to be involved in the following four cases;

i. Infocity P.S. Case No.625 of 2026 U/s.191(2)/191(3)/296/115(2)/117(2)/118(1)/ 118(2)/109/304/190 of BNS read with Section 25/27 of Arms Act.

ii. Infocity P.S. Case No.627 of 2026 U/s.191(2)/191(3)/296/115(2)/117(2)/109/190 of BNS read with Section 25/27 of Arms Act.

iii. Infocity P.S. Case No.628 of 2026 U/s.126(2)/296/115(2)/117(2)/118(2)/109(1)/3(5) of BNS read with Section 25(1)(a) of Arms Act.

iv. Infocity P.S. Case No.630 of 2026 U/s.126(2)/296/115(2)/117(2)/351(2)/3(5) of BNS read with Section 25/27 of Arms Act.

7. Learned counsel for the Petitioners submits that so far as antecedents are concerned, that arising out of the same occurrence, four FIRs have been filed with ulterior motive and the Petitioners have been cited as accused. Hence, that ought not to weigh with this Court.

8. Taking into account the injury though categorised as simple and considering the seat thereof, this Court is not inclined to entertain the application for pre-arrest bail. However, Petitioners may surrender before the learned J.M.F.C.-II, (Cog. Taking), Bhubaneswar in connection with the aforementioned case, within one month from today.

In the event of their surrender and motion for bail, the same be considered by the learned J.M.F.C.-II, (Cog. Taking), Bhubaneswar on merits, in the first hour of the day.

In the event of rejection of the prayer for bail by the learned J.M.F.C.-II, (Cog. Taking), Bhubaneswar, the Petitioners are at liberty to move the higher forum for bail in the second hour on the same day.

9. On being so moved, the higher forum is requested to make an endeavor to dispose of the bail application of the Petitioners on the same day.

The case diary be made available to the concerned courts to facilitate disposal of the bail application of the Petitioners and learned J.M.F.C.-II, (Cog. Taking), Bhubaneswar is called upon to transmit

the case record to the higher forum at the earliest in the event of rejection of such bail application.

Ground of parity, if any, may be considered by the learned court(s) below.

10. The ABLAPL is accordingly disposed of. U.C.C. as per rules.

(V. NARASINGH)
Judge

Santoshi

Signature Not Verified
Digitally Signed
Signed by: SANTOSHI LENKA
Reason: Authentication
Location: High Court of Orissa, Cuttack
Date: 11-Nov-2026 18:24:17