IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No.35985 of 2026
CNR No.ODHC010849542026

Panchanan Behera .... ***Petitioner***

Mr. Pronoy Mohanty, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha and others .... ***Opp. Parties***

Ms. Bijaya Laxmi Tripathy, ASC with
Mrs. Usharani Padhi, ASC
(for O.P. Nos.1 to 5)

CORAM:
JUSTICE MRUGANKA SEKHAR SAHOO

ORDER
10.11.2026
(*Hybrid Mode*)

Order No.

01. **1.** The petitioner before this Court has stated to be a displaced shop keeper who was doing his business in the Rahama Bazar at Rahama in the district of Jagatsinghpur.

2. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner would not pursue the present writ application in its present form and shall opt to seek a direction to the Opposite Party No.5 to consider his representation dated 16.10.2026 as at Annexure-24.

It is further submitted that the opposite party nos.6 and 7 have recommended the cause of the

petitioner for allotment of shop room in the ground floor of newly constructed Rahama market complex, Rahama Bazar.

The learned counsel refers to Annexures-21 and 22 which are the purported recommendations by the opposite party nos.6 and 7.

3. In response, it is submitted by the learned ASC that recommendation to opposite party no.5 are not binding, however, the said opposite party shall consider the grievance of the petitioner as stated in representation dated 16.10.2026 (Annexure-24).

4. Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned ASC and in view of the limited nature of grievance raised and prayer made;

it is directed that the authority shall do well to consider the representation of the petitioner in the next meeting which is stated to be held tomorrow i.e. 11.11.2026. For the said purpose, the petitioner shall have liberty to approach the authority by producing copy of this order obtained from the official website of the Court along with copy of the representation and all supporting documents sought to be relied upon by the petitioner.

The decision of the authority shall be communicated to the petitioner.

5. It is further observed that this Court has not expressed any opinion regarding the merits of the

claim of the petitioner or the contentions of the parties to the litigation.

6. The writ petition is disposed of with the above observations.

(Mruganka Sekhar Sahoo)
Judge

Radha

Signature Not Verified
Digitally Signed
Signed by: RADHARANI JENA
Reason: Authentication
Location: OHC
Date: 10-Nov-2026 16:54:02