IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
W.P.(C) No.35488 of 2026
CNR No. ODHC010834272026

Manasi Baral .... ***Petitioner***
Mr. M.K. Balabantaray, Adv.

-versus-

State of Odisha & Others .... ***Opposite Parties***
Mr. A. Tripathy, AGA

COROM:
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY

ORDER
31.10.2026

Order No

01. **1.** This matter is taken up through Hybrid Mode.

2. Heard learned counsel for Petitioner and learned counsel for the State-Opposite Party.

3. Considering the nature of order going to be passed, this Court is of the view that no notice need be issued to the Opp. Party-Authority.

4. The present Writ Petition has been filed *inter alia* with the following prayer:-

> *"Under these circumstances the petitioner most humbly prays that this Hon'ble Court be graciously pleased to admit the writ petition and issue Rule NISI calling upon the opp. parties to show cause as to why*
>
> *(i) the opp. parties shall not be directed to release arrear pension in favour of the petitioner w.e.f.*

01.10.2025 in view of the Judgment dated 29.10.1990 passed in OJC No. 768 of 1990 and Judgment dated 04.12.2023 in W.P.(C) No. 18559 of 2015 passed by Division Bench of this Hon'ble Court and order dated 18.10.2024 passed in SLP Dairy No. 30536 of 2024 (arising out of W.P.(C) No. 18559 of 2015 passed on 04.12.2023) from the corpus fund created by the CDA as the case of the Petitioner is squarely covered in view of above Judgments.

(ii) the opp. parties No. 2 to 4 shall not be directed to release monthly pension and other benefits to the Petitioner from the corpus fund created by CDA in terms of Judgment dated 29.10.1990 passed in OJC No. 768 of 1990 by Division Bench of this Hon'ble Court.

And if the opp. parties fail to show cause or show insufficient cause to make the said Rule NISI be absolute.

And further this Hon'ble this Hon'ble Court may kindly be disposed of the present writ petition in the light of order dated 25.09.2025 passed in W.P.(C) No. 22806 of 2025 under Annexure - 7 since it is a covered matter.

And pass any other order/orders as would be deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case.

And for the said act of kindness, the petitioner shall as in duty bound ever pray."

5. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the Petitioner state that highlighting his grievances, the Petitioner has made a representation to Opposite Party No.2 vide Annexure-9 and the same may be directed to be considered within a stipulated time, taking into account the orders available under Annexure-4 & 7.

6. Considering the submission and after going through the

records, this Court, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, disposes of the Writ Petition directing Opposite Party No.2 to consider the representation filed by the petitioner vide Annexure-9 in accordance with law within a period of three (3) months from the date of production of certified copy of this order.

7. Since it is contended that Petitioner's claim is covered by the order passed under Annexure-4 and so also the order passed under Annexure-7, relevancy and effect of both the orders be taken into consideration, while considering the Petitioner's claim so directed.

8. Petitioner is permitted to provide a copy of this order along with the order available at Annexures-4 & 7 before Opp. Party No.2, for compliance.

Accordingly, the Writ Petition stands disposed of.

(Biraja Prasanna Satapathy)
Judge

Jyoti

Signature Not Verified
Digitally Signed
Signed by: JYOTIPRAVA BHOL
Reason: Authentication
Location: HIGH COURT OF ORISSA
Date: 31-Oct-2026 13:15:09