IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
BLAPL No.11343 of 2026
CNR No. ODHC010826252026

Sandeep Sahu@sandip Sahu ..... ***Petitioner***

Represented by Adv. -
Partha Sarathi Das

-versus-

State of Odisha ..... ***Opposite Party***

Represented by Adv. -Mr.
D.K.Sahoo, A.G.A.

CORAM:
MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR MOHAPATRA

ORDER
10.11.2026

Order No.

01. 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual /Physical Mode).

2. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner as well as learned Additional Government Advocate for the State.

3. This is an application under Section 483 of the B.N.S.S. filed by the Petitioner for bail in connection with Polasara P.S. Case No.647 of 2023 corresponding to S.T. Case No.101 of 2024 pending in the Court of the learned Addl. District & Sessions Judge, Kodala for alleged commission of offence under Section 272/273 of I.P.C., r/w.sec-52(a) of Odisha Excise Act.

4. Learned counsel for the Petitioner submitted that the Petitioner was initially arrested in connection with the present case on 22.10.2023. He further submitted that the Petitioner thereafter moved an application for bail and was released on bail on 06.11.2023. However, the Petitioner failed to appear before the learned Trial

Court on 26.04.2024, as a consequence of which a Non-Bailable Warrant (NBW) was issued against him. Learned counsel further submitted that, pursuant to the execution of the said NBW, the Petitioner was again taken into custody on 08.08.2026 and has been languishing in custody since then. Learned counsel for the Petitioner further contended that, although the Petitioner has two criminal antecedents, he is ready and willing to abide by any stringent terms and conditions that may be imposed by this Court in the event of his release on bail. He further submitted that the Petitioner belongs to the locality, therefore there is no chance of his absconding.

5. Learned counsel for the State, on the other hand, opposed the prayer for bail on the ground that the Petitioner had earlier defaulted in appearing before the learned Trial Court and, thereby, violated the conditions of bail. On such ground, learned counsel for the State submitted that the Petitioner should not be enlarged on bail at this stage.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the respective parties and on careful consideration of the surrounding facts as well as materials on record, further taking into consideration the period of detention, this Court is inclined to release the Petitioner on bail on furnishing a bail bond of Rs.40,000/- (Rupees Forty Thousand) with two local solvent sureties each for the like amount to the satisfaction of the learned court in seisin over the matter. Violation of any of the terms and conditions shall entail cancellation of bail.

7. It is further directed that the bail granted to the Petitioner is subject to depositing a cash security of Rs.3,000/- (Rupees Three Thousand) before the learned Court in seisin over the matter, which shall be kept in any Nationalized bank in interest bearing account

initially for a period of one year which will be renewable from time to time till conclusion of trial and the same shall be abide by the final outcome of the trial of the case.

8. BLAPL is accordingly disposed of.

9. Issue urgent certified copy as per Rules.

(A.K. Mohapatra)
Judge

Rubi

Signature Not Verified
Digitally Signed
Signed by: RUBI BEHERA
Reason: Authentication
Location: OHC
Date: 11-Nov-2026 17:19:55