IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
CRLMP No.1806 of 2026
CNR No. ODHC010824922026

Karunakara Singh ***.....*** ***Petitioner***

Represented by Adv. - Bidhubhusana Mohapatra

-versus-

State Of Odisha & Others ***.....*** ***Opposite Parties***

Represented by Adv. – A. Mohanty, ASC

CORAM:
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SIBO SANKAR MISHRA
ORDER

Order No. **18.11.2026**

01. 1. Heard.

2. The petitioner by invoking the writ jurisdiction of this Court under Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India is seeking the following reliefs:-

> *"(i) Issue an appropriate writ, order or direction, particularly in the nature of writ of mandamus, directing the Opposite Parties to ensure a fair, proper, impartial and expeditious investigation into the FIR dated 07.04.2025 which has been registered as Khordha Sadar P.S. Case No.97 of 2025 and is pending before the learned S.D.J.M., Khordha in G.R. Case No.329 of 2025 concerning the death of the brother of the petitioner;*
>
> *(ii) To direct the Opposite Party No. 3 to call for the case record and submit a status report before this Hon'ble Court indicating the steps taken during the investigation, the present status of the case and the reason of delay;*
>
> *(iii) Direct the I.O. to complete the investigation within a reasonable time fixed by this Hon'ble Court and submit the charge sheet in accordance with law*

before the competent Court and arrest the accused person.

(iv) If the Opposite Parties failed to show cause or to show insufficient cause to make the Rule absolute; and

(v) To pass such other order/order and to issue such order or writs as would offered complete relief to the petitioner;"

3. At the instance of the petitioner, Khurda Sadar P.S. Case No.97 of 2025 has been registered on 07.04.2025 for the alleged commission of offences punishable under Sections 288, 125(a), 125(b), 106(1) of the BNS and Sections 3 & 4 of the Explosive Substance Act, 1908.

4. The grievance of the petitioner is that, although the F.I.R. was registered on 07.04.2025, more than seven months have elapsed, yet the investigation has not been conducted in a fair, proper and expeditious manner and has remained pending without any progress.

5. The prayer made by the petitioner deserves merit to be considered. Accordingly, the same is allowed.

6. The Opposite Party No.3 is directed to do well to see that the investigation is conducted fairly and concluded expeditiously. The final report under Section 193 of the B.N.S.S, 2023 (corresponding to Section173 of the Cr.P.C.) shall be submitted before the Court of competent jurisdiction without any unnecessary delay.

7. Accordingly, the CRLMP is disposed of.

(S.S. Mishra)
Judge

Signature Not Verified
Digitally Signed
Signed by: RATI RANJAN NAYAK
Reason: Authentication
Location: OHC
Date: 19-Nov-2026 21:18:10
Rati Ranjan