IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
WP(C) No.36480 of 2026
CNR No. ODHC010820432026

Narendra Barik ..... ***Petitioner***

Represented by Adv. - Hrudananda Mohapatra

-versus-

State of Odisha & Ors ..... ***Opposite Parties***

Represented by Adv.

Mr. D.Lenka, A.G.A.

CORAM:
MR. JUSTICE SASHIKANTA MISHRA

ORDER
13.11.2026
W.P.(C). No. 36480 of 2026
and I.A. No. 20387 of 2026

Order No. 1

1. This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Additional Government Advocate for the State.

3. The petitioner has approached this Court with the following prayer:

> *"In the view of the above facts and circumstances of the case, this Hon'ble Court may graciously be pleased to issue writ of mandamus or any form of writ/writs issuing Rule NISI calling upon the opposite parties particularly to the Tahasildar, Banarpal to show cause as to why direction shall not be given to him not to prevent the petitioner from using the land pertaining to part of Plot No.2884/7005 under Khata No.1 of Mouza-Tubey lying in front of his house connecting to main road, to use as road for egress and ingress from his house and as to why further direction shall not be given to the Collector, Anugola to act upon the representation submitted by the petitioner under Annexure-3 for the said purpose, considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances; and*
> *If the Opposite Parties do not show cause or show insufficient cause, the Rule NISI may be made absolute;*

And pass any other order/orders, direction/directions, writ/writs as this Hon'ble deem fit and proper;
And for this act of kindness the petitioner is as in duty bound shall ever pray."

4. It is submitted by Mr. Mohapatra, learned counsel for the petitioner that petitioner's egress and ingress to his residential house, which is situated over his own plot adjacent to the Government land, is being obstructed because of the proposed alienation of the land in favour of a school. It is further submitted that the petitioner has no objection to the proposed alienation of the land. However, his only grievance is that his egress and ingress to his residential house should be duly protected.

5. In course of hearing, it is brought to the notice of this Court that highlighting his grievance the petitioner has already approached the Collector, Angul by submitting a representation on 06.10.2026 but no action has been taken thereon. Since the Collector is in seisin over the matter, let him take an informed decision at the first instance.

6. The writ application is therefore, disposed of directing the Collector, Angul to take a decision on the representation so submitted by the petitioner after granting opportunity of hearing to him and by passing a reasoned order within a period of two weeks from today. Till such time, the petitioner's egress and ingress to his dwelling house shall not be obstructed in any manner.

(Sashikanta Mishra)
Judge

Signature Not Verified
Digitally Signed
Signed by: DEEPAK PARIDA
Reason: Authentication
Location: OHC,Cuttack
Date: 13-Nov-2026 17:58:42

Deepak