IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No.34703 of 2026

CNR No. ODHC010813072026

Aswini Kumar Padhi

.... ***Petitioner***

Mr. S.P. Jena, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha & Anr.

.... ***Opposite Parties***

Dr. P.P. Behera, ASC

CORAM:
JUSTICE BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY

ORDER

30.10.2026

Order No.

01. **1.** This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual/Physical) Mode.

2. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner and learned counsel appearing for the Opp. Parties.

3. Petitioner has filed the present Writ Petition *inter alia* with the following prayer:-

> *"Under the abovementioned facts and circumstances of the case, it is, therefore, prayed that this Hon'ble Court may graciously be pleased to issue a writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ/writs directing the Opp.Parties to count the past service of the Petitioner rendered as NMR (from 05.09.1989) and in the Work-Charged Establishment as qualifying service, and to sanction and disburse pension and all other pensionary benefits to the Petitioner in terms of the Odisha Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1992 by applying the principles laid down in Sk. Abdul Motalib (order dated 04.01.2023 in W.P.(C) No.32200 of 2022, confirmed by order dated 02.11.2023 in W.A. No.1462 of 2023 and by orders dated 16.03.2026 and 16.09.2026 of the Hon'ble Apex Court in SLP(C) No.10302 of 2026 and Review Petition Diary No.39053 of 2026) under Annexure-7 series.*

And/Or Pass any other order(s) as this Hon'ble Court may deem think fit and proper.

And for which act of kindness, the Petitioner as in duty bound shall ever pray.".

4. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the Petitioner states that highlighting his grievance, Petitioner has made a representation to Opposite Party No.1 vide Annexure-6 and the same may be directed to be considered within a stipulated time, to which learned Counsel for the State has no objection.

5. As agreed by learned counsel for the parties and after going through the records, this Court, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, disposes of the Writ Petition directing Opposite Party No.1 to consider the representation filed by the Petitioner vide Annexure-6 within a period of three (3) months in accordance with law from the date of production of certified copy of this order with due communication to the Petitioner.

6. Accordingly, the Writ Petition stands disposed of.

(Biraja Prasanna Satapathy)
Judge

Subrat

Signature Not Verified
Digitally Signed
Signed by: SUBRAT KUMAR BARIK
Reason: Authentication
Location: HIGH COURT OF ORISSA, CUTTACK
Date: 31-Oct-2026 12:11:36