IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P. (C) No.33385 of 2026
(CNR No. ODHC010788662026)

Anupama Tulo …. **Petitioner**
Mr. S.K.Mishra, Advocate

-Versus-

State of Odisha & others …. **Opposite Parties**
Mr.S.B.Panda,AGA

CORAM:
MR. JUSTICE R.K. PATTANAIK

ORDER
05.11.2026

Order No.

01. 1. Heard learned counsel for the respective parties.

2. Instant writ petition is filed by the petitioner seeking a direction to opposite party No.2 to take necessary steps on the recommendation made by opposite party No.3 as per and under Annexure-5 vis-a-vis approval of her services and release of salary w.e.f. 1st January,2014 under the Grant-in-Aid Order, 2014 on the grounds stated.

3. The institution was notified as an aided institution in question where the petitioner is presently serving vide Annexure-1. The petitioner received appointment order as per Annexure-3. The demand is for release of salary w.e.f. 1st January, 2014 under the GIA Order, 2024. Referring to the notification dated 31st December, 2014 at Annexure-3, Mr. Mishra, learned counsel submits that the petitioner joined prior to the cut-off date i.e. 1st June, 2008 so as to be eligible vide

Clause-3 of the said Order. Recorded the submission of Mr. Panda, learned AGA for the State. It is revealed from the record that the Governing Body submitted to proposal as per Annexure-5 which is pending consideration before opposite party No.2. Recording the submissions as above and since there is a proposal with request and recommendation by the Governing Body vide Annexure-5, this Court, in view of the plea of the petitioner seeking release of salary as per the GIA Order, 2014, is inclined to direct opposite party No.2 to consider such recommendation and to take a decision thereon at the earliest and within the stipulated period fixed herein below.

4. Accordingly, it is ordered.

5. In the result, writ petition stands disposed of with the direction as aforesaid for compliance by opposite party No.2 soon after receiving a copy of this order preferably within a period of eight weeks from the date of such communication of the order to him. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed anything on merits of the claim of the petitioner, hence, opposite party No.2 shall consider the recommendation vide Annexure-5 and examine it independently with a decision in accordance with law.

6. A certified copy of this order be issued as per rules.

Signature Not Verified
Digitally Signed
Signed by: KABITARANI MAJHI
Reason: Authentication
Location: OHC, Cuttack
Date: 10-Nov-2026 15:14:59

(R.K. Pattanaik)
Judge