**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK**
**BLAPL No.10901 of 2026**
**CNR No. ODHC010787652026**

***Akhil Maharana*** **.....** ***Petitioner***

Represented by Adv. - Satya Narayan Panda

*-versus-*

***STATE OF ODISHA*** **.....** ***Opposite Party***

Represented by Adv. – Mr. D.K. Sahoo, AGA

**CORAM:**
**MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR MOHAPATRA**

**ORDER**
**05.11.2026**

**Order No.**

01. 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual /Physical Mode).

2. Heard learned counsel appearing for the Petitioner and learned Additional Standing Counsel appearing for the State-Opposite Party. Perused the materials placed before this Court.

3. The present bail application under Section 483 of B.N.S.S. has been filed by the Petitioner for regular bail in connection with G.R. Case No.06 of 2026, arising out of Bisam Katak P.S. Case No.01 of 2026, pending in the Court of learned J.M.F.C., Bisamcuttack for alleged commission of offence punishable under Sections 331(4)/305(a)/3(5) of the BNS.

4. It is stated by the learned counsel for the Petitioner that the Petitioner is in custody since 23.04.2026. He further contended that in

the meantime, investigation has been concluded and final charge-sheet has been filed. Learned counsel for the Petitioner further submitted that the Petitioner belongs to locality there is no chance of absconding. He further submitted that although the Petitioner is having some criminal antecedents, however he has been taken on remand on the present case and that the Petitioner is ready and willing to abide by any stringent terms and conditions that would be imposed by this Court, in the event his release on bail.

5. Further referring to the allegation made in the FIR, learned counsel for the Petitioner contended that initially the FIR was lodged at the instance of the Informant making allegation against the unknown accused person. The Petitioner was taken on remand in the present case and it is alleged that the involvement of the Petitioner has been established on the basis of an extra-judicial confession. Learned counsel for the Petitioner emphatically submitted that no T.I. parade has been conducted in this case. He further submitted that taking into consideration the period of pre-trial the custody as well as the fact that FIR was lodged against unknown accused persons and in the meantime, charge-sheet has already been filed, the Petitioner has been enlarged on bail on any stringent terms and conditions.

6. Learned Additional Govt. Advocate on the other hand opposed the bail application of the Petitioner on the ground of gravity and seriousness of the allegation. He further submitted that in the event the Petitioner is released on bail there is every likelihood that he might have indulge in similar criminal offences, considering the fact that he is having similar criminal antecedent. On such ground, learned counsel for the State prayed for rejection of the bail application.

7. Having regard to the rival contention raised by the learned counsels appearing for both sides, further on a careful analysis of the

nature and gravity of the allegation as well as the fact that the FIR was lodged against unknown accused persons and that the Petitioner is languishing in custody since 23.04.2026, in the meantime charge-sheet has been filed enlarged on bail, this Court is inclined to release the Petitioner on bail subject to imposition of stringent conditions.

8. Hence, it is directed that the Petitioner be released on bail in the aforesaid case on furnishing a bail bond of Rs.40,000/- (Rupees Forty thousand) with two solvent sureties each for the like amount to the satisfaction of the learned court in seisin over the matter.

9. Additionally the learned trial Court shall impose the following conditions:-

I. shall not indulge in similar type of offences while on bail;

II. shall appear before the learned trial Court on each and every date fixed;

III. shall appear before the local Police Station once in a fortnight for a period of three months, thereafter once in a month for another for a period of three months, thereafter once in a month till conclusion of trial preferably on 'Sunday' in between 10.00 A.M. to 1 P.M.

Violation of any other conditions either imposed by this Court or the trial Court shall entail cancellation of the bail application.

10. The BLAPL is, accordingly, disposed of.

***( A.K. Mohapatra)***
***Judge***

Signature Not Verified
Digitally Signed
Signed by: SISIR KUMAR SETHI
Reason: Authentication
Location: ORISSA HIGH COURT
Date: 09-Nov-2026 14:36:39