IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

BLAPL No.10936 of 2026
CNR No. ODHC010784632026

S.K. Mujibur Rehman @ Garib …. ***Petitioner***

Mr. K. Khuntia, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha …. ***Opposite Party***

Mr. S. Panigrahi, ASC

BLAPL No.12392 of 2026
CNR No. ODHC010897852026

Sk. Kausarv @ Vandari @ Sk.Kaosar …. ***Petitioner***

Mr. R.C. Maharana, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha …. ***Opposite Party***

Mr. S. Panigrahi, ASC

BLAPL No.12424 of 2026
CNR No. ODHC010897842026

Laden @ Sk. Samsuddin @ Sasuddin …. ***Petitioner***

Mr. R.C. Maharana, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha …. ***Opposite Party***

Mr. S. Panigrahi, ASC

BLAPL No.12433 of 2026
CNR No. ODHC010897882026

Sk. Parwan @ Pako …. ***Petitioner***

Mr. R.C. Maharana, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha …. ***Opposite Party***

Mr. S. Panigrahi, ASC

CORAM: JUSTICE V. NARASINGH

ORDER
20.11.2026

Order No.

02. 1. Since both the BLAPLs relate to the same FIR, on the consent of the parties, they are taken up together for consideration and disposed of by this common order.

2. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioners and learned counsel for the State.

3. The Petitioners are accused in connection with G.R. Case No.1190 of 2025 pending on the file of learned SDJM, Bhadrak, arising out of Tihidi P.S. Case No.197 of 2025 for commission of offence alleged under Sections 126(2)/ 296/ 118(2)/ 103(2)/ 351(2)/ 3(5) of BNS.

4. Learned counsel, on instruction, submits that except the present BLAPLs, no other bail application of the Petitioners relating to the aforementioned P.S. case is pending in any other Court.

5. Being aggrieved by the rejection of their applications for bail U/s.483 of the BNSS by the learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Bhadrak by order dated 17.06.2026, 07.10.2026 & 29.09.2026 respectively in the aforementioned cases, the present BLAPLs have been filed.

6. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the Petitioners that the co-accused persons have since been released on bail by this Court by order dated 18.09.2026 in BLAPL Nos.5929 & 9046 of 2026, taking into account the statement of the three witnesses i.e. CSWs. 21, 6 and 8 (Partha Sarathi Parida, Sonu Nigam Parida and Sushanta Nayak) as well as referring to the statement of CSW No.2 (Manas Ranjan Jena).

It is stated that since the Petitioners are at all fours with the said co-accused, on the ground of parity, Petitioners may be enlarged on bail.

7. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the Petitioners that they have been implicated only on the ground of suspicion and there is no material to connect them with the alleged offence, which has occurred due to an altercation on account of transportation of cattle.

8. Learned counsel for the Petitioners further submits that the Petitioner in BLAPL No. 10936 of 2026 is in custody since 26.07.2025, the Petitioner in BLAPL No. 12392 of 2026 is in custody since 05.06.2025, the Petitioner in BLAPL No. 12424 of 2026 is in custody since 03.06.2025 and the Petitioner in BLAPL No.

12433 of 2026 is in custody since 04.06.2025 and as the charge sheet has already been filed on 23.04.2026, their further continuance in custody is not warranted. It is also stated that they have no criminal proclivity.

9. Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer for bail.

10. Considering the rival submissions, this Court directs the Petitioners shall be released on bail on such terms to be fixed by the learned Court in seisin so as to ensure their presence during the trial subject to verification of criminal antecedent of similar nature.

If it comes to the fore that the Petitioners have any such criminal antecedent, this order shall not be given effect to.

11. Accordingly, the BLAPLs stand disposed of. U.C.C as per rules.

(V. NARASINGH)
Judge

Ayesha

Signature Not Verified
Digitally Signed
Signed by: AYESHA ROUT
Reason: Authentication
Location: High Court of Orissa, Cuttack
Date: 25-Nov-2026 11:18:34