IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

CRLMP No. 1755 of 2026

CNR No. ODHC010778032026

Victim .... ***Petitioner(s)***

Mr. Umakanta Barik, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha & Ors. .... ***Opp. Party(s)***

Mr. Tej Kumar, ASC

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SIBO SANKAR MISHRA

ORDER

Order No. **17.11.2026**

04. 1. Heard.

2. The petitioner is aggrieved for non-registration of the FIR on the complaint given by her on 11.09.2026, which has been forwarded to the Superintendent of Police, Ganjam on 18.09.2026.

3. When the matter was taken up for hearing on 16.10.2026, learned State Counsel sought time to obtain instruction. Pursuant thereto, the SDPO, Chhatrapur, Ganjam has placed on record the report dated 29.10.2026. The report indicates that on the

complaint submitted by the petitioner, the SDPO, Chhatrapur, Ganjam has conducted a preliminary enquiry and after investigation he has arrived at the following conclusion:-

> *"8. Being aggrieved with the arrest of her son, the petitioner has made false allegation against IIC, Khallikote PS regarding assault, abuse, tearing her wearing apparels and taking away cash amounting Rs.80,000/- and one gold chain from her possession during raid in her house.*
> *9. On receipt of such petition, having been entrusted, I conducted enquiry about the allegation of the petitioner which could not be substantiated and was proved false. During my enquiry, it was ascertained that neither IIC, Khallikote PS accompanied the raiding party to arrest accused Sukanta Pradhan (son of petitioner) nor he has assaulted the old lady petitioner or committed anything wrong as alleged by the petitioner.*
> *10. It was proved that the petitioner has filed petitions in different quarters to falsely implicate IIC, Khallikote PS and his staff with ulterior motives, being aggrieved with the arrest of her son Sukanta Pradhan who is accused in Khallikote PS case No. 788/2025 and 717/2026."*

4. In view of the aforementioned report, no further direction is required to be issued in the present case. However, it is left open to the petitioner to resort to the procedural remedy available to him under law by filing the complaint under section under Section 223 of BNSS

(corresponding Section 200 of the Cr.P.C.) or under section 175 (3) of BNSS (corresponding section 156(3) Cr.P.C.) before the court of competent jurisdiction, if so advised.

5. The CRLMP is disposed of.

Ashok

(S.S. Mishra)
Judge

Signature Not Verified
Digitally Signed
Signed by: ASHOK KUMAR JAGADEB MOHAPATRA
Reason: Authentication
Location: High Court of Orissa
Date: 17-Nov-2026 19:11:22