IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
BLAPL No.10580 of 2026
CNR No. ODHC010769592026

Ranjan Kumar Rout ..... ***Petitioner***

Represented by Adv. -
Arijeet Mishra

-versus-

State of Odisha ..... ***Opposite Parties***

Represented by Adv. -
Mr. D.K.Sahoo, A.G.A.

CORAM:
MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR MOHAPATRA

ORDER
10.11.2026

Order No.

01. 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual /Physical Mode).

2. Heard learned counsel appearing for the Petitioner and learned Additional Government Advocate appearing for the State-Opposite Party. Perused the materials placed before this Court.

3. The present bail application under Section 483 of B.N.S.S. has been filed by the Petitioner for regular bail in connection with C.T. Case No.1513 of 2026, arising out of Korei P.S. Case No.333 of 2026, pending in the Court of learned J.M.F.C., Jajpur Road for alleged commission of offence punishable under Sections 310(4)7310(5) of the BNS read with Section 25(1-B)(b)/27(1) of Arms Act.

4. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the Petitioner

that the Petitioner is in custody since 14.09.2026. He further contended that, although the investigation has progressed substantially in the meantime, the final charge sheet has not yet been filed. He further submitted that the Petitioner does not have any criminal antecedent and that the Petitioner belongs to the locality, therefore there is no chance of his absconding.

5. Learned counsel for the Petitioner, further referring to the allegations made in the F.I.R., submitted before this Court that the Petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further contended that the Petitioner was merely working as a driver of the vehicle and had no role whatsoever in the alleged commission of the offence. In such view of the matter, learned counsel for the Petitioner submitted that the Petitioner be released on bail on any terms and condition which the Petitioner undertakes to abide by while on bail.

6. Learned Additional Government Advocate appearing for the State-Opposite Party, on the other hand, opposed the prayer for bail on the ground that the investigation is still in progress and the final charge sheet has not yet been filed. In view of the same, he submitted that the prayer for bail of the Petitioner be rejected at this stage.

7. Having heard the learned counsels appearing for the respective parties and on a careful examination of the surrounding facts and circumstances of the present case and further keeping the view the period of detention of the Petitioner in jail custody and the fact that the Petitioner does not have any

criminal antecedent, this Court is inclined to release the Petitioner on bail subject to imposition of stringent conditions.

8. Hence, it is directed that the Petitioner be released on bail in the aforesaid case on furnishing bail bond of Rs.40,000/- (Rupees Forty Thousand) with two local solvent sureties for the like amount to the satisfaction of the Court in seisin over the matter. It is open for the Court in seisin over the matter to impose any other conditions as may be deemed just and proper.

9. It is further directed that the bail granted to the Petitioner is subject to the condition that the court below shall verify whether the Petitioner is having any criminal antecedent. In the event it is found that the Petitioner is having any criminal antecedent, this bail order shall automatically stand revoked.

10. The BLAPL is, accordingly, disposed of.

(A.K. Mohapatra)
Judge

Rubi

Signature Not Verified
Digitally Signed
Signed by: RUBI BEHERA
Reason: Authentication
Location: OHC
Date: 11-Nov-2026 17:19:54