IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
CRLMA No.112 of 2026
CNR No. ODHC010751612026

Pravakar @ Pravash Kar Mohapatra ..... ***Petitioner***

Represented by Adv. - Sidhartha Das

-versus-

State of Odisha ..... ***Opposite Party***

Represented by Adv. -Mr. C.Mohanty, A.S.C.

CORAM:
MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR MOHAPATRA

ORDER
12.11.2026

Order No.

01. 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual /Physical Mode).

2. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner as well as the learned counsel for the State. Perused the CRLMA application as well as the prayer made therein.

3. By filing the present application under Section 439(1)(b) of the Cr.P.C., the Petitioner, who is an accused in the aforesaid case, has approached this Court seeking modification of the conditions imposed by the learned J.M.F.C., Rasgovindpur, in C.T. Case No.200 of 2026, arising out of Rasgovindpur P.S. Case No.206 of 2026, while releasing him on bail pursuant to the order dated 19.05.2026 passed by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in ABLAPL No.4782 of 2026.

4. Learned counsel for the Petitioner, at the outset, contended

that by virtue of order dated 19.05.2026, the Petitioner was permitted to surrender and move an application for bail with a further direction to the learned Magistrate to release the Petitioner on bail subject to Petitioner furnishing a bail bond of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) with one surety each and subject to the Petitioner furnishing and undertaking to the effect that he will not be involved in similar criminal activities in future. Consequently, the Petitioner surrender before the learned trial court and moved an application for bail. The learned trial court in addition to the condition imposed by this Court vide order dated 19.05.2026 imposed certain additional conditions while releasing the Petitioner on bail vide order dated 27.05.2026, at Annexure-2 to the CRLMA application. Learned counsel for the Petitioner objected to the condition of the Court below, which is quoted hereinbelow-

> *"4. He shall not leave the territorial jurisdiction of this Court without prior permission."*

5. Learned counsel for the Petitioner, referring to the aforesaid condition submitted before this Court that the Petitioner, who happens to be a politician, is required to visit different places to resolve the problem of the local public. He further submitted that by imposition of the aforesaid condition, the movement of the Petitioner is restricted and he is unable to discharge his public duties. On such ground, learned counsel for the Petitioner prayed for the modification of the aforesaid condition. He further submitted that although such condition has been imposed while releasing the Petitioner on bail, till now the Petitioner is scrupulously following such condition.

6. On a careful consideration of the submissions made by the learned counsel for the Petitioner and on a close scrutiny of the

condition imposed by the learned trial court, this Court found that the Petitioner has been directed not to leave the territorial jurisdiction of the trial court without prior permission.

7. Considering the nature and gravity of the allegation against the present Petitioner, this Court grants liberty to the Petitioner to move the learned J.M.F.C., Rasgovindpur for modification of condition No.4 of order dated 27.05.2026. In the event any such application is made seeking modification of such condition, the learned trial Court shall consider the same and modify the condition suitably.

8. With the aforesaid observations, the CRLMA stands disposed of.

(A.K. Mohapatra)
Judge

Rubi

Signature Not Verified
Digitally Signed
Signed by: RUBI BEHERA
Reason: Authentication
Location: OHC
Date: 13-Nov-2026 13:39:20