IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
W.P.(C) No.31182 of 2026
ODHC010740922026

Krushna Chandra Mallick **....** ***Petitioner***

Represented by
Mrs. S. Dash, Advocate

-Versus-

State of Odisha &Others **....** ***Opp. Parties***

Represented by
Mr. S.N. Patnaik,
Additional Government Advocate

CORAM:
MR. JUSTICE SASHIKANTA MISHRA

ORDER
13.11.2026

Order No.
03.

1. This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner and learned Additional Government Advocate for the State.

3. The petitioner has approached this Court with the following prayer:-

> *"It is therefore, prayed that this Hon'ble Court may graciously be pleased to admit the writ petition, issue show cause notice to the Opposite Parties, call for the records and after hearing may quash/set-aside the order dated 23.02.2026 (Annexure-3) passed by the O.P. No.5 in the Mutation Case No.225/2026 for the interest of justice;*
>
> *AND*
>
> *Further prays to direct the Opposite Party No.5 to adjudicate the Mutation Case No.225/2026 afresh;*
>
> *AND*
>
> *Further prays to pass any other order/orders, direction/directions as deemed fit and proper;*
>
> *And for this act of kindness, the petitioner as in duty bound shall ever pray."*

4. It is submitted by Mrs. Dash, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner that the mutation case was sent to the Revenue Inspector for enquiry by order dated 02.02.2026. After enquiry, the Revenue

Inspector forwarded the case record to the Tahasildar on 21.02.2026. Thereafter, without issuing any notice of hearing, the matter was taken up on 23.02.2026 and finally disposed of by rejecting the case, inter alia, on the ground of non-submission of the original sale deed.

5. This Court finds from the impugned order that no notice appears to have been served upon the petitioner before the matter was taken up for final hearing.

6. It is further submitted by Mrs. Dash, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner that if given the opportunity, the petitioner can produce the original sale deed.

7. Such being the submissions, the Writ Petition is disposed of by setting aside the impugned order and by remitting the matter to the Additional Tahasildar, G. Udayagiri to hear the mutation case afresh after granting proper opportunity of hearing to the petitioner and the other necessary parties. The matter shall thereafter, be dealt with in accordance with law.

(Sashikanta Mishra)
Judge

Puspanjali

Signature Not Verified
Digitally Signed
Signed by: PUSPANJALI GHADAI
Designation: JUNIOR STENOGRAPHER
Reason: Authentication
Location: High Court of Orissa, Cuttack.
Date: 13-Nov-2026 17:55:58