IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
BLAPL No.10046 of 2026
CNR No. ODHC010739182026

Saudamini Suttar and others ..... ***Petitioners***

Represented by Adv. – Mr. Ramani Kanta Pattanaik

-versus-

State of Odisha ..... ***Opposite Party***

Represented by Adv. – Mr. Manas Ranajn Patra, ASC

M/s. Azad keshari Dash, B.Karana, S.S.Dash

(For the Informant)

CORAM:
MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR MOHAPATRA

ORDER
04.11.2026

Order No.

01. 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual /Physical Mode).

2. Heard learned counsel appearing for the Petitioners, learned Additional Standing Counsel appearing for the State-Opposite Party, and the learned counsel for the Informant. Perused the bail application as well as the case diary.

3. The present bail application under Section 483 of B.N.S.S., 2023 has been filed by the Petitioners for regular bail in connection with G.R. Case No.456 of 2026, arising out of Daspalla P.S. Case No.241 of 2026, pending in the court of learned J.M.F.C., Daspalla,

for alleged commission of offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 324(3), 303(2), 109, 74, 296 and 351(2) read with Section 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

4. Learned counsel for the Petitioners submitted that the Petitioners are in jail custody since 01.09.2026. He further submitted that, in the meantime, although the investigation has progressed substantially, the final charge sheet has not been filed. Learned counsel for the Petitioners further contended that the Petitioners do not have any criminal antecedent and that they belongs to the locality, therefore, there is no chance of their absconding. He further contended that the injuries sustained by the injured are simple in nature.

5. Learned counsel for the Informant, on the other hand, opposed the release of the Petitioners on bail on the ground of gravity and seriousness of the allegation. He further submitted that in the event the Petitioners are released on bail, there is every possibility that they might harass/threaten the Informant.

6. Learned counsel for the State also opposed the released on the Petitioners on bail on the ground of gravity and seriousness of the allegation and the fact that investigation is still on.

7. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsels appearing for the respective parties and on a careful examination of the background facts and circumstances of the present case, further keeping in view the fact that injuries sustained by the injured are simple in nature and the fact that the Petitioners do not have any criminal antecedent, this Court is inclined to release the Petitioners on bail.

8. Hence, it is directed that the Petitioners be released on bail in the aforesaid case on furnishing bail bond of Rs.40,000/-(Rupees forty thousand) each with two local solvent sureties each for the like amount to the satisfaction of the Court in seisin over the matter.

9. It is open for the Court in seisin over the matter to impose any other conditions as it may be deemed just and proper. Violation of any of the condition shall entail cancellation of bail.

10. It is further directed that the bail granted to the Petitioners shall be subject to the condition that the court below shall verify whether the Petitioners are having any criminal antecedent as well as injury report. In the event it is found that the Petitioners are having any criminal antecedent or the injuries sustained by the injured are grievous in nature, then this bail order shall automatically stand revoked.

11. The BLAPL is, accordingly, disposed of.

Issue urgent certified copy of this order as per Rules.

(A.K. Mohapatra)
Judge

Debasis

Signature Not Verified
Digitally Signed
Signed by: DEBASIS AECH
Designation: SECRETARY
Reason: Authentication
Location: ORISSA HIGH COURT
Date: 05-Nov-2026 14:19:48