IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
BLAPL No.10084 of 2026
CNR No. ODHC010729862026

Aashis P. **.....** ***Petitioner***

Represented by Adv. - Rajib Lochan Pattnaik

-versus-

State Of Odisha **.....** ***Opposite Party***

Represented by Adv. - Miss Babita Kumari Sahu, AGA

CORAM:
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR MOHAPATRA

ORDER
12.11.2026

Order No.

01. 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid mode.

2. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner as well as learned Additional Government Advocate for the State. Perused the application as well as documents annexed thereto.

3. The present bail application under Section 483 of BNSS, has been filed by the Petitioner for regular bail relating to P.R. No.38 of 2026-27, corresponding to T.R. Case No.86 of 2026, pending before the Addl. Sessions Judge-cum-Special Judge, Koraput, for alleged commission of offence punishable under Section 20(b)(ii)(C) of N.D.P.S. Act.

4. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the Petitioner that the Petitioner is in custody since 08.08.2026. Further, submitted that

in the meantime the investigation has substantially progressed and the final charge sheet is likely to be filed. Further contended that the Petitioner does not have any criminal antecedent. Although he does not belong to the State of Orissa, however, he is ready and willing to abide by any stringent terms and conditions that will be imposed by this Court, in the event of his release on bail. Learned counsel for the Petitioner further refers to the allegation made in the FIR, submitted that a total quantity of 21 Kgs. of contraband Ganja has been recovered from the possession of the present Petitioner. Learned counsel for the Petitioner at this stage disputed the seizure of contraband article from the exclusive and conscious possession of the present Petitioner. Further, submitted that such contraband article having been seized from a public bus stop. It cannot be conclusively said at this stage that the contraband article was recovered from the exclusive and conscious possession of the present Petitioner. On such ground, learned counsel for the Petitioner contended that the Bar under Section 37 of N.D.P.S. Act would not be attracted to the facts of the Petitioner's case.

5. Learned counsel for the State, on the other hand, opposed the bail application of the Petitioner. He further contended that taking into consideration the fact that such cases are increasing day-by-day in the State of Odisha, no leniency should be shown to the petitioner. In such view of the matter, he submitted that the bail application of the Petitioner be rejected at this juncture.

6. Having heard the learned counsels appearing for the respective parties and on a careful examination of the surrounding facts and circumstances of the present case, further keeping in view the period of detention of the Petitioner in jail custody, and the fact

that the bar under Section 37 of NDPS Act would not be attracted to the facts of the present case, and that he does not have any similar criminal antecedent, this Court is inclined to release the Petitioner on bail subject to the Petitioner furnishing bail bond of Rs.40,000/- (Rupees Forty Thousand) with two solvent sureties each for the like amount to the satisfaction of the learned court in seisin over the matter. The release of the Petitioner shall also be subject to such other terms and conditions as is deemed just and proper by the court in seisin over the matter subject to the following terms and conditions:

(i) shall not indulge in similar criminal offense;

(ii) shall appear before the Trial Court on each and every date fixed, unless the personal appearance is dispensed with.

(iii) shall cooperate with investigation and shall appear before the I.O. as and when required for the purpose of investigation.

(iv) shall appear before the concerned Police Station once in a fortnight, preferably on a Sunday between 10.00 A.M. and 1.00 P.M., for a period of two months and thereafter once a month for a further period of three months, or until conclusion of the trial.

Violation of any of the terms and conditions shall entail cancellation of the bail.

7. It is further directed that the bail granted to the Petitioner be subject to the condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the Petitioner. In the event the Petitioner is having any

similar criminal antecedent, then this bail order shall automatically stand revoked.

8. Accordingly, the BLAPL stands disposed of.

Issue urgent certified copy as per Rules.

(Aditya Kumar Mohapatra)
Judge

Sumitra

Signature Not Verified
Digitally Signed
Signed by: SUMITRA NAYAK
Reason: Authentication
Location: OHC, CUTTACK
Date: 13-Nov-2026 15:54:02