IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
BLAPL No.9578 of 2026
CNR No. ODHC010720942026

Sk. Murshid @ Sk. Mursik @ Sk. Mursid @ Sk. Mursit ..... ***Petitioner***

Represented by Adv. - Susanta Kumar Tripathy

-versus-

State Of Odisha ..... ***Opposite Party***

Represented by Adv. – M.K. Mohanty, A.S.C.

CORAM:
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR MOHAPATRA

ORDER
29.10.2026

Order No.

01. 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Mode.

2. Heard learned counsel appearing for the Petitioner and learned Additional Standing Counsel appearing for the State-Opposite Party. Perused the materials placed before this Court.

3. The present bail application under Section 483 of B.N.S.S has been filed by the Petitioner for regular bail in connection with G.R. Case No.726 of 2026, arising out of Pattamundai Model P.S. Case No.330 of 2026, pending in the Court of learned J.M.F.C., Pattamundai for alleged commission of offence punishable under Sections 318(4)/109/115(2)/118(1)/351(2)/351(3)/3(5) of the BNS.

4. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the Petitioner that the Petitioner is in custody since 31.08.2026. He further contended

that in the meantime investigation has progressed substantially and the charge sheet is likely to be filed very soon. He further submitted at this juncture submitted that the Petitioner does not have any criminal antecedent and that he belongs to the locality, therefore, there is no chance of his absconding. He further submitted that no case under Section 109 of the BNS is made out against the present Petitioner as the injury sustained by the injured are simple in nature. On such ground, learned counsel for the Petitioner contended that the Petitioner be released on bail on any stringent terms and conditions that would be imposed by this Court.

5. Learned Additional Standing Counsel, on the other hand, opposed the bail application of the Petitioner on the ground of gravity and seriousness of the allegation. Learned counsel for the State further submitted that in the event the Petitioner is released on bail, there exists a possibility that he might abscond from justice which would eventually cause delay in conclusion of the investigation as well as the trial. On such ground, learned counsel for the State prayed for rejection of the bail application of the Petitioner.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the respective parties and on careful consideration of the surrounding facts as well as materials on record, further keeping in view the fact that injury sustained by the injured is simple in nature and the Petitioner does not have any criminal antecedent, this Court is inclined to release the Petitioner on bail subject to imposition of stringent conditions.

7. Hence, it is directed that the Petitioner be released on bail in the aforesaid case on furnishing a bail bond of Rs.35,000/- (Rupees Thirty-Five thousand) with two solvent sureties each for the like

amount to the satisfaction of the learned court in seisin over the matter.

8. It is open for the Court in seisin over the matter to impose any other conditions as may be deemed just and proper. Violation of any other conditions shall entail cancellation of the bail application.

9. It is further directed that the bail granted to the Petitioner shall be subject to the verification of his criminal antecedent and the injury report. In the event, the Petitioner is having any criminal antecedent or the injury sustained by the injured is grievous in nature, then this order shall stand automatically revoked.

10. The BLAPL is, accordingly, disposed of.

(A.K. Mohapatra)
Judge

Anil

Signature Not Verified
Digitally Signed
Signed by: ANIL KUMAR SAHOO
Reason: Authentication
Location: High Court of Orissa
Date: 31-Oct-2026 12:59:25