IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
BLAPL No.9623 of 2026
CNR No. ODHC010720602026

Sachin Sethy **.....** ***Petitioner***

Represented by Adv. -
Rakesh Behera

-versus-

STATE OF ODISHA **.....** ***Opposite Party***

Represented by Adv.
– M.R. Patra, ASC

CORAM:
MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR MOHAPATRA

ORDER
29.10.2026

Order No.

01. 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual /Physical Mode).

2. Heard learned counsel appearing for the Petitioner and learned Additional Standing Counsel appearing for the State-Opposite Party. Perused the materials placed before this Court.

3. The present bail application under Section 483 of B.N.S.S. has been filed by the Petitioner for regular bail in connection with C.T.(S) Case No.184 of 2026, arising out of Banarpal P.S. Case No.291 of 2026, pending in the Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge, Anugola for alleged commission of offence punishable under Section 111(2)(b)/310(2) of BNS.

4. Learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that the Petitioner is languishing in custody since 17.05.2026. He further contended that in

the meantime, investigation has been concluded and final charge-sheet has been filed. Learned counsel for the Petitioner at the outset submitted that although the Petitioner was not named in the FIR however he has been subsequently added as an accused on the basis of the co-accused statement. He further submitted that no T.I. parade has been conducted. Therefore, the identity and involvement of the Petitioner in the alleged crime has not been conclusively established. He further submitted that the Petitioner does not have any criminal antecedents and that he belongs to locality. Therefore, there is no chance of his absconding. In course of his argument, learned counsel for the Petitioner further contended that one of the co-accused, namely Kanha Parida, has been enlarged on bail by this Court in ABLAPL No.6553 of 2026 by a coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 01.07.2026. He further contended that out of the allegedly robbery amount of Rs.15,00,000/-, a sum of Rs.14,00,00/- amount has already been recovered in the meantime. On such ground, learned counsel for the Petitioner submitted that the Petitioner be released on bail on any stringent terms and conditions deemed proper by this Court.

5. Learned Additional Standing Counsel on the other hand objected to the release of the Petitioner on bail on the ground of gravity and seriousness of the allegation. He further submitted that although charge-sheet has been filed, however, in the event the Petitioner is released on bail there is every likelihood that he might have abscond which would cause delay in conclusion of the trial. On such ground, learned counsel for the State objected to the release the Petitioner on bail.

6. Having regard to the submission made by learned counsels appearing for the Parties and on a careful examination of the surrounding facts and circumstances and further taking note of the background facts as well as the nature and gravity of the allegation and the role of the present Petitioner in the alleged crime, further considering the fact that no T.I. parade has been conducted, this Court is inclined to release the Petitioner on bail subject to imposition of stringent conditions.

7. Hence, it is directed that the Petitioner be released on bail in the aforesaid case on furnishing a bail bond of Rs.50,000/- (Rupees Fifty thousand) with two solvent sureties each for the like amount to the satisfaction of the learned court in seisin over the matter. It is open for the Court in seisin over the matter to impose any other conditions as may be deemed just and proper. Violation of any of the conditions shall entail cancellation of the bail application.

8. The BLAPL is, accordingly, disposed of.

(A.K. Mohapatra)
Judge

Sisir

Signature Not Verified
Digitally Signed
Signed by: SISIR KUMAR SETHI
Reason: Authentication
Location: ORISSA HIGH COURT
Date: 30-Oct-2026 15:38:36