IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
BLAPL No.9483 of 2026
CNR No. ODHC010713772026

Jujhia @ Akura Pradhan **.....** ***Petitioner***

Represented by Adv. - Amitav Tripathy

-versus-

State Of Odisha **.....** ***Opposite Party***

Represented by Adv. – D.K. Sahoo, A.G.A.

CORAM:
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR MOHAPATRA

ORDER
28.10.2026

Order No.

01. 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Mode.

2. Heard learned counsel appearing for the Petitioner and learned Additional Government Advocate appearing for the State-Opposite Party. Perused the materials placed before this Court.

3. The present bail application under Section 483 of B.N.S.S has been filed by the Petitioner for regular bail in connection with G.R. Case No.651(A) of 2022, arising out of Nayagarh P.S. Case No.152 of 2022, pending in the Court of learned S.D.J.M., Nayagarh for alleged commission of offence punishable under Sections 457/380/34 of the IPC.

4. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the Petitioner that the Petitioner is in custody since 13.11.2025. He further contended that in the meantime investigation has been concluded and the final charge sheet has been filed. Further, referring to the allegations

made in the FIR, learned counsel for the Petitioner contended that initially the FIR was lodged against unknown accused person. He further submitted that the Petitioner was taken on remand subsequently in the present case. It was also argued before this Court that there is no specific material to implicate the Petitioner in the present crime. He further submitted that although the Petitioner is having some criminal antecedents, however, he is ready and willing to abide by any stringent terms and conditions as would be deemed fit and proper by this Court in the event the Petitioner is released on bail. He further contended that the Petitioner belongs to the locality, therefore, there is no chance of his absconding.

5. Learned Additional Government Advocate, on the other hand, opposed the bail application of the Petitioner on the ground of gravity and seriousness of the allegation. She further submitted that the Petitioner is having criminal antecedent. Therefore, in the event the Petitioner is released on bail, there is every likelihood that either he might abscond or indulge in similar criminal offences. On such ground, learned counsel for the State objected to the release of the Petitioner on bail.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the respective parties and on careful consideration of the surrounding facts as well as materials on record as well as the nature of the allegation, further keeping in view the fact that the investigation has been concluded and the final charge sheet has been filed, this Court is inclined to release the Petitioner on bail subject to imposition of stringent conditions.

7. Hence, it is directed that the Petitioner be released on bail in the aforesaid case on furnishing a bail bond of Rs.50,000/- (Rupees

Fifty thousand) with two solvent sureties each for the like amount to the satisfaction of the learned court in seisin over the matter. It is open for the Court in seisin over the matter to impose any other conditions as may be deemed just and proper. While imposing conditions, the learned court below shall impose a condition that the Petitioner shall appear before the jurisdictional Police Station once in a fortnight, preferably on 'Sunday' in between 10.00 A.M. to 1 P.M., for a period three months, thereafter, once in a month for a period of three months. Violation of any other conditions shall entail cancellation of the bail application.

8. It is further directed that the bail granted to the Petitioner shall be subject to depositing a cash security of Rs.3,000/- (Rupees Three Thousand) before the learned Court in seisin over the matter, which shall be kept in any Nationalized bank in interest bearing account initially for a period of one year which will be renewable from time to time till conclusion of trial and the same shall be abide by the final outcome of the trial of the case.

9. The BLAPL is, accordingly, disposed of.

(A.K. Mohapatra)
Judge

Anil

Signature Not Verified
Digitally Signed
Signed by: ANIL KUMAR SAHOO
Reason: Authentication
Location: High Court of Orissa, Cuttack
Date: 29-Oct-2026 14:43:13