IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
BLAPL No.9583 of 2026
CNR No. ODHC010707622026

Ajit Kumar Samantasinghar **.....** ***Petitioner***

Represented by
Adv. – Manish Nag Das

-versus-

State Of Odisha **.....** ***Opposite Party***

Represented by Adv. – B.K. Sahu, A.G.A.

CORAM:
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR MOHAPATRA

ORDER
29.10.2026

Order No.

01. 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Mode.

2. This is bail application U/S.483 of BNSS by the Petitioner for grant of bail in connection with Khurda Model P.S. Case No.106 of 2026 corresponding to GR Case No.217 of 2026 pending in the file of learned SDJM, Khurda for commission of offences punishable U/Ss.318(4)/336(2)/340(l)/61(2)/3(5) BNS read with Sec.65/72 of IT Act.

3. The allegation against the Petitioner, in gist, is that the Sanatana @ Sanatan Barik had opened up multiple bank accounts in the name of different persons on the inducement of accused-Prakash Ku. Sahoo for monetary gain and the Lalu @ Diptee Ranjan Jayasingh was acting as a middle man by procuring accounts from local residence for use of such account in financial

transaction and in the process the accused persons had received some amounts, like the accused-Prakash Kumar Sahoo allegedly receiving Rs.1,66,348/- (One Lakh Sixty Six Thousand Three Hundred Forty Eight) and the accused-Lalu @ Diptee Ranjan Jayasingh receiving Rs.2,39,000/-Two Lakh Thirty-Nine Thousand with the assistance of present Petitioner-Ajit Kumar Samantasinghar, but there is alleged financial fraud of Rs.10,41,02,941.28/-.

4. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner and learned Additional Standing Counsel for the State. Perused the record.

5. After having considered the rival submissions and taking into consideration the nature and gravity of the offences as alleged against the Petitioner vis-à-vis the accusation sought to be brought against him and regard being had to the pre-trial detention of the Petitioner in custody since 10.07.2026 with submission of charge-sheet in the meantime and there being no criminal antecedents reported against any of the Petitioner and keeping in view the other circumstances on record in entirety including the inherent right of the accused to be presumed innocent until proven guilty at the trial and no material being collected to suggest that the Petitioner would abscond or would pose threat to the witnesses, if released on bail, this Court without expressing any view on merit admits the Petitioner to bail.

6. Hence, the bail application of the Petitioner stands allowed and the Petitioner is allowed to go on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.50,000/- (Rupees Fifty Thousand) with two solvent sureties each for the like amount to the satisfaction of the learned Court in seisin of the case on such terms and conditions as deem fit and

proper by it.

7. It is further directed that the bail granted to the Petitioner shall be subject to the verification of the criminal antecedent of the Petitioner. In the event it is found that the Petitioner is having any criminal antecedent, this bail order shall automatically stand revoked.

8. Accordingly, these BLAPL stands disposed of. Issue urgent certified copy of the order as per Rules. A soft copy of this judgment be immediately communicated to the concerned Court, who shall afterwards communicate the same to the concerned Jail through e-mail for reference.

(A.K. Mohapatra)
Judge

Anil

Signature Not Verified
Digitally Signed
Signed by: ANIL KUMAR SAHOO
Reason: Authentication
Location: High Court of Orissa
Date: 31-Oct-2026 12:59:25