IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
BLAPL No.9927 of 2026
CNR No. ODHC010705912026

Dillip Parida @ Baiya ..... ***Petitioner***

Represented by Adv. - Amitav Tripathy, A.K.Behera(3)

-versus-

State of Odisha ..... ***Opposite Party***

Represented by Adv. -Mr. M.R.Patra, A.S.C.

CORAM:
MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR MOHAPATRA

ORDER
09.11.2026

Order No.

01. 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual /Physical Mode).

2. Heard learned counsel appearing for the Petitioner and learned Additional Standing Counsel appearing for the State-Opposite Party. Perused the materials placed before this Court.

3. The present bail application under Section 483 of the B.N.S.S. has been filed by the Petitioner for regular bail in connection with G.R. Case No.668 of 2026, arising out of Itamati P.S. Case No.218 of 2026, pending in the Court of learned S.D.J.M., Nayagarh for alleged commission of offence punishable under Sections 115(2)/ 117(2)/ 118(2)/ 126(2)/ 61(2)/ 109/3(5) of BNS.

4. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the Petitioner

that the Petitioner is in custody since 31.07.2026. He further submitted that the investigation has been concluded and the final charge sheet has been filed. Learned counsel for the Petitioner submitted that the Petitioner does not have any criminal antecedent and that he belongs to the locality, therefore there is no chance of his absconding. It was also contended that the injuries sustained by the injured are simple in nature. In such view of the matter, learned counsel for the Petitioner submitted that the Petitioner be released on bail on any terms and condition which the Petitioner undertakes to abide by while on bail.

5. Learned Additional Standing Counsel on the other hand opposed the bail application of the Petitioner on the ground of gravity and seriousness of the allegation. He further submitted that one of the injuries sustained by the injured has been opined to be grievous in nature, which is an abrasion on the elbow of the injured. On the basis of the aforesaid submission, learned counsel for the State prayed for rejection of the bail application.

6. Having heard the learned counsels appearing for the respective parties and on a careful examination of the surrounding facts and circumstances of the present case and further keeping the view the period of detention of the Petitioner in jail custody and the fact that the Petitioner does not have any criminal antecedent, this Court is inclined to release the Petitioner on bail subject to imposition of stringent conditions.

7. Hence, it is directed that the Petitioner be released on bail

in the aforesaid case on furnishing bail bond of Rs.40,000/- (Rupees Forty Thousand) with two local solvent sureties each for the like amount to the satisfaction of the Court in seisin over the matter. It is open for the Court in seisin over the matter to impose any other conditions as may be deemed just and proper. Violation of any of the terms and conditions shall entail cancellation of bail

8. The BLAPL is, accordingly, disposed of.

(A.K. Mohapatra)
Judge

Rubi

Signature Not Verified
Digitally Signed
Signed by: RUBI BEHERA
Reason: Authentication
Location: OHC
Date: 11-Nov-2026 15:09:21