IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
W.P.(C) No.32050 of 2026
(CNR No. ODHC010695752026)

Sri Pratyush Kumar Patra …. **Petitioner**

Mr. S.K. Das, Advocate

-Versus-

State of Odisha and others …. **Opposite Parties**

Mr. S.K. Parhi, ASC

CORAM:
MR. JUSTICE R.K. PATTANAIK

ORDER
27.10.2026

Order No.

01. 1. Heard Mr. Das, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Parhi, learned ASC for the State.

2. Instant writ petition is filed by the petitioner for a direction to the opposite parties to regularize his service as a Primary School Teacher in Level-V w.e.f. 18th February, 2015 on completion of six years of service as Sikshya Sahayak and to grant him all consequential service and financial benefits within a stipulated period of time on the grounds stated.

3. It is submitted to the Court that the petitioner joined as a Sikshya Sahayak in 2009 vide appointment order at Annexure-1 and joined on 18th February, 2009 and on completion of three years' service was appointed as Junior teacher as per the scheme but the services have not been regularized upon completing of six years in the whole and it is on account of a Vigilance case in which he has been chargesheeted. The submission is that when the scheme allows

regularization of the service on completion of six years as Sikshya Sahayak, the same could not have been denied by the Authority concerned on the premise that he is involved in the vigilance case. The submission of Mr. Das, learned counsel for the petitioner is that the petitioner was earlier a Sarpanch and in respect of the GP, the Vigilance case was initiated and therein, the chargesheet is filed but against him, the allegations are not serious and informs the Court that he has approached the BEO, Gop with a representation i.e. Annexure-4, hence, a direction may perhaps be issued to opposite party No.4 to consider such regularization irrespective of the chargesheet filed in the vigilance case considering the same dated 13th November, 2023.

4. Recorded the objection and submissions of Mr. Parhi, learned ASC for the State.

5. Considering the limited grievance of the petitioner about representation pending decision before the Authority concerned and though it has been addressed to the BEO, Gop but having regard to the fact that the petitioner is otherwise eligible for regularization on completion six years of service as Sikshya Sahayak, this Court, without expressing anything on merits of the alleged claim, is inclined to direct opposite party No.4 to examine such claim and to take a decision thereon with respect to the representation dated 13th November, 2023 i.e. Annexure-4 followed by an order at the earliest and accordingly, it is directed.

6. In the result, the writ petition stands disposed of with a direction to opposite party No.4 to consider the representation as at Annexure-4 on being forwarded by the BEO, Gop, Puri and to take a decision on the same with regard to the plea of the petitioner towards regularization of the services under the scheme followed by an order preferably within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

7. Issue urgent certified copy of this order as per rules.

(R.K. Pattanaik)
Judge

Rojina

Signature Not Verified
Digitally Signed
Signed by: ROJINA SAHOO
Designation: Sr. Stenographer
Reason: Authentication
Location: OHC, CTC
Date: 29-Oct-2026 15:50:45