IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
BLAPL No.9227 of 2026
CNR No. ODHC010693402026

Akash Bisoi@akash Bisoyi **.....** ***Petitioner***

Represented by Adv. - Partha Sarathi Das

-versus-

State of Odisha (Vig.) **.....** ***Opposite Party***

Represented by Adv. - Mr. S.K.Das, Standing Counsel for Vigilance

CORAM:
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR MOHAPATRA

ORDER

Order No. **28.10.2026**

03. 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual /Physical Mode).

2. Heard learned counsel appearing for the Petitioner and Mr. S.K.Das, learned Standing Counsel for the Vigilance Department. Perused the materials placed before this Court.

3. The present bail application under Section 483 of B.N.S.S. has been filed by the Petitioner for regular bail in connection with VGR Case No.06 of 2026, arising out of Balasore Vigilance P.S. case No.18 of 2026, pending in the Court of learned Addl. Sessions Judge-cum-Special Judge (Vig.), Keonjhar for alleged commission of offence punishable under Sections 7 of P.C.(Amendment) Act, 2018.

4. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the Petitioner that the Petitioner, a government employee who was working as the District Labour Officer, Keonjhar, has been arrested in connection with the present case and has been languishing in custody since 01.09.2026. It is further contended that the investigation has progressed substantially and that no further custodial interrogation of the Petitioner is required, particularly in view of the fact that the present case arises out of a vigilance trap. Learned counsel for the Petitioner further submits that, in the event of his release on bail, the Petitioner is ready and willing to abide by any stringent terms and conditions that may be imposed by this Court.

5. Mr. S.K. Das, learned Standing Counsel for the Vigilance Department, on the basis of the instructions received on 10.09.2026, opposed the prayer for bail. He further submitted that, although no further custodial interrogation of the Petitioner may be required, his release on bail at this stage may hamper the ongoing investigation. On the aforesaid grounds, learned Standing Counsel for the Vigilance Department opposed the release of the Petitioner on bail.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the respective parties and on careful consideration of the surrounding facts as well as materials on record, this Court is inclined to release the Petitioner on bail on furnishing a bail bond of Rs.50,000/- (Rupees Fifty Thousand) with two local solvent sureties each for the like amount to the satisfaction of the learned court in seisin

over the matter. It is open for the Court in seisin over the matter to impose any other conditions as may be deemed just and proper. Violation of any of the terms and conditions shall entail cancellation of bail.

7. The BLAPL is, accordingly, disposed of.

(A.K. Mohapatra)

Judge

Rubi

Signature Not Verified
Digitally Signed
Signed by: RUBI BEHERA
Reason: Authentication
Location: OHC
Date: 30-Oct-2026 15:17:04