IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
BLAPL No.9157 of 2026
CNR No. ODHC010677012026

Shasanka Bhupal Shelake **.....** ***Petitioner***

Represented by Adv. - Ramesh Chandra Maharana

-versus-

State of Odisha **.....** ***Opposite Party***

Represented by Adv. – Mr. M.K.Mohanty, A.S.C.

CORAM:
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR MOHAPATRA

ORDER

Order No. **28.10.2026**

02. 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual /Physical Mode).

2. Heard learned counsel appearing for the Petitioner and learned Additional Standing Counsel appearing for the State-Opposite Party. Perused the materials placed before this Court.

3. The present bail application under Section 483 of B.N.S.S. has been filed by the Petitioner for regular bail in connection with C.T. Case No.273 of 2026, arising out of Khuntuni P.S. Case No.82 of 2026, pending in the Court of learned S.D.J.M., Athagarh for alleged commission of offence punishable under Sections 318(4)/338/3(5) of B.N.S.

4. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the Petitioner that the Petitioner was initially arrested in connection with another case on 16.03.2026 and, while he was in custody, was taken on remand in the present case on 05.05.2026. It is further submitted that the Petitioner has been languishing in custody in connection with the present case since 05.05.2026.

5. Learned counsel for the Petitioner further contends that the investigation has been completed and the charge sheet was filed long back, i.e., on 02.07.2026. It is also submitted that although the offences alleged are triable by a Magistrate, the trial has not yet commenced and there is no likelihood of its commencement in the near future. It is further brought to the notice of this Court that the Petitioner has one criminal antecedent. However, learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that, in the event of his release on bail, the Petitioner is ready and willing to abide by any stringent terms and conditions that may be imposed by this Court.

6. Learned Additional Standing Counsel on the other hand opposed the bail application of the Petitioner on the ground of gravity and seriousness of the allegation. He further contended that in the event the Petitioner is released on bail there is every likelihood that he might indulge in similar criminal offences. Accordingly, it was prayed that the bail application of the Petitioner be rejected at this juncture.

7. Having heard learned counsel for the respective parties and on careful consideration of the surrounding facts as well as

materials on record, this Court is inclined to release the Petitioner on bail on furnishing a bail bond of Rs.40,000/- (Rupees Forty Thousand) with two local solvent sureties each for the like amount to the satisfaction of the learned court in seisin over the matter. It is open for the Court in seisin over the matter to impose any other conditions as may be deemed just and proper. Violation of any of the terms and conditions shall entail cancellation of bail.

8. The BLAPL is, accordingly, disposed of.

(A.K. Mohapatra)

Judge

Rubi

Signature Not Verified
Digitally Signed
Signed by: RUBI BEHERA
Reason: Authentication
Location: OHC
Date: 30-Oct-2026 15:17:03