IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
BLAPL No.9426 of 2026
CNR No. ODHC010669832026

Mahendra Mallik ..... ***Petitioner***

Represented by Adv. - Jugala Kishore Panda

-versus-

State Of Odisha ..... ***Opposite Parties***

Mr. M.K. Mohanty, ASC

CORAM:
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR MOHAPATRA

ORDER
04.11.2026

Order No.

02. 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid mode.

2. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner as well as learned counsel for the State. Perused the application as well as documents annexed thereto.

3. The present bail application under Section 483 of BNSS, has been filed by the Petitioner for regular bail relating to Manamunda P.S. Case No.190 of 2024, corresponding to C.T. Case No.305 of 2024, pending before the learned J.M.F.C., Kantamal, for alleged commission of offence punishable under Sections 341, 294, 323, 325, 326, 354-B, 506 of IPC

4. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the Petitioner that the Petitioner is in custody since 22.07.2026. He further contended that in the meantime the investigation has been concluded and the

final charge-sheet has been filed. He further contended that the Petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. Further, referring to the allegation made in the FIR, learned counsel for the Petitioner contended that the injured has sustained injuries on his leg and hand. However, it is stated that after getting the preliminary treatment on the local hospital the injured has been discharged and now he is hale and hearty. He further contended that the Petitioner belongs to the locality, therefore, there is no chance of his absconding. He further submitted that the Petitioner does not have any criminal antecedent. In such view of the matter, learned counsel for the Petitioner contended that the Petitioner is ready and willing to abide by any terms and conditions as this Court deems fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case.

5. Learned counsel for the State, on the other hand, opposed the bail application of the Petitioner on the ground of gravity and seriousness of the allegation. He further contended that the injured has sustained grievous injuries and in the event the Petitioner is released on bail, there is every likelihood that he might indulge in similar criminal offence. In such view of the matter, he submitted that the bail application of the Petitioner be rejected at this juncture.

6. Having heard the learned counsels appearing for the respective parties and on a careful examination of the surrounding facts and circumstances of the present case, further keeping in view the period of detention of the Petitioner in jail custody, and the fact that the injured is now hale and hearty, and the fact that the Petitioner belongs to the locality and that he does not have any similar criminal antecedent, this Court is inclined to release the Petitioner on bail subject to the Petitioner furnishing bail bond of

Rs.40,000/- (Rupees Forty Thousand) with two solvent sureties each for the like amount to the satisfaction of the learned court in seisin over the matter. The release of the Petitioner shall also be subject to such other terms and conditions as is deemed just and proper by the court in seisin over the matter. Violation of any of the terms and conditions shall entail cancellation of bail.

7. It is further directed that the bail granted to the Petitioner be subject to the condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the Petitioner and the injury report. In the event the Petitioner is having any criminal antecedent and the injured has sustained any grievous injury, then this bail order shall automatically stand revoked.

8. Accordingly, the BLAPL stands disposed of.

Issue urgent certified copy as per Rules.

(Aditya Kumar Mohapatra)
Judge

S.K. Rout

Signature Not Verified
Digitally Signed
Signed by: SANTANU KUMAR ROUT
Reason: Authentication
Location: High Court of Orissa, Cuttack
Date: 05-Nov-2026 17:48:16