**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK**

**W.P.(C) No.32719 of 2026**
**CNR No. ODHC010662942026**

**Dipak Kumar Bagha** …. **Petitioner**
Mr. A.K.Ray, Advocate

-Versus-

**State of Odisha & others** …. **Opposite Parties**
Mr. P.K.Parhi, ASC

**CORAM:**
**MR. JUSTICE R.K. PATTANAIK**

**ORDER**
**04.11.2026**

**Order No.**

01. 1. Heard Mr. Ray, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Parhi, learned ASC for the State.

2. Instant writ petition is filed by the petitioner for a direction to opposite party No.3 to issue appointment order consequent upon letter dated 16th December, 2025 as at Annexure-7 taking into account the Distress Certificate issued by opposite party No.3 on the grounds stated.

3. Mr. Ray, learned counsel submits that the father of the petitioner's died in the year 2004 and thereafter, widow mother applied for rehabilitation appointment but she died in 2009. The further submission is that after the death of mother, the petitioner on attaining majority made the application in the year 2020 as per Annexure-5 and it was duly entertained with a

direction to apply him in a given format which was complied with and thereafter, he was instructed to appear before opposite party No.3 along with required documents but thereafter, it has not been followed by any appointment order. It is claimed that the petitioner appeared in person on 23rd December, 2025 in response to Annexure-7 and submitted all the documents but till date, no appointment order has been issued to him.

4. Recorded the submission of Mr. Parhi, learned ASC for the State.

5. From Annexure-5, this Court finds that the request for rehabilitation appointment via an application was received from the petitioner and it was processed and ultimately, he was directed to submit the required documents on 23rd December, 2025 as per Annexure-7. The claim is that the petitioner was not issued with any appointment order even after compliance of Annexure-7. On a reading of the letter dated 16th December, 2025 at Annexure-7, it is made to reveal that the petitioner was found eligible for appointment under the Rehabilitation Assistance Scheme as per the OCS (Rehabilitation Assistance) Amendment Rules, 2025 hence, direction to submit all the required documents. In such view of the matter, when the petitioner has been found eligible as evident from Annexure-7 and there has been compliance with the submission of the documents, this Court is of the view that there is no justification not to issue any appointment order in his favour that too when a distress Certificate has been issued by opposite

party No.4, hence, necessary direction is required to be issued in that regard to opposite party No.3 for compliance within a stipulated period.

6. Accordingly, it is ordered.

7. In the result, the writ petition stands disposed of with the direction as aforesaid for early compliance. It is further directed that opposite party No.3 soon after receiving a copy of this order to consider issuance of appointment letter in favour of the petitioner taking into account the Distress Certificate issued by opposite party No.4 complying the same at the earliest preferably within a period of six weeks from the date of communication received by him upon production of a copy of this order.

8. Issue urgent copy of this order as per rules.

**(R.K. Pattanaik)**
**Judge**

Signature Not Verified
Digitally Signed
Signed by: KABITARANI MAJHI
Reason: Authentication
Location: OHC,CTC
Date: 09-Nov-2026 17:25:03