IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No.27330 of 2026

CNR No. ODHC010652472026

Bijaya Kumar Das .... **Petitioner**

Mr. S.K. Nayak, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha and others .... **Opp. Parties**

Ms. Suman Pattanayak, AGA
for OP Nos.1 to 6

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MRUGANKA SEKHAR SAHOO

ORDER
27.10.2026
(*Hybrid Mode*)

Order No.

04. **1.** Learned counsel for the Petitioner being present in VC addresses.

2. The matter was listed before the Coordinate Bench on 03.09.2026. Upon hearing the learned counsel for the parties, the following order was passed:

> *"1. Pursuant to order dated 02.09.2026, the records of W.P.(C) No.19431 of 2026 has been tagged to this case.*
>
> *2. Perusal of the records reveal that W.P.(C) No.19431 of 2026 had been filed by the Khantapada Service Cooperative Society Ltd. represented by its President Dillip Kumar Das and*

the said application has been disposed of on 01.07.2026 as withdrawn, as the learned Senior Counsel appearing on behalf of the Petitioner prayed for withdrawal of the writ petition with liberty to move appropriate application, as provided under Section 68 of the Orissa Cooperative Societies Act, 1962 along with the I.A., if any. This order has been annexed as Annexure-4 to this writ petition.

3. Therefore, the averment in paragraph-7 of this writ petition that the Petitioner had previously approached this Court in W.P. (C) No.19431 of 2026 is not correct.

4. It is stated in paragraph-8 of the writ petition that the Petitioner has instituted dispute petition under Section 68 of the Cooperative Societies Act before the Registrar Cooperative Societies (Dispute Case No.41 of 2026) and that the interim application has not been taken up for which forcible management of paddy procurement by local political figures under color of office which constitutes gross abuse of statutory authority, which undermine the rule of law is going on.

5. The learned State Counsel is directed to obtain instructions in the writ petition and I.A. No. 16319 of 2026 and file an affidavit, if required, within a period of two weeks.

6. Paragraphs 13, 14, 15 and 18 of the writ petition are extracted below:-

> *"13. That, carrying out parallel commercial and procurement operations while the core validity of the society's existence is sub-judice before the statutory tribunal violates the doctrine of judicial propriety and administrative fairness.*
>
> *14. That, the administrative officials are threatening society staff and executive body members with penal action if they interfere with the political setup, causing panic among the local farming community.*
>
> *15. That, small and marginal farmer-members are being coerced into selling*

their Kharif produce at an unauthorized, illegally run booth, creating confusion regarding MSP credit, loan adjustments, and society membership rights.

18. That, petitioner humbly submits that to safeguard public exchequer funds and protect farmer livelihoods during the ongoing Kharif cycle, it is imperative to direct the District Collector and RCS to deploy a senior neutral civil officer (Sub-Collector or ARCS) to exclusively control procurement."

7. In view of the above averments, on the next date this Court will consider if the matter should be listed before the PIL Bench.

8. List this case on 18.09.2026."

3. Today the matter being in the list is taken up and memo of date is filed by the learned counsel for the Petitioner, which states thus:

"The petitioner may kindly be permitted to withdraw the writ application."

The memo shall be taken on record and scanned copy be updated.

4. In view of the memo filed on behalf of the Petitioner, the Writ Petition stands disposed of.

(Mruganka Sekhar Sahoo)
Judge

Signature Not Verified
Amit
Digitally Signed
Signed by: AMIT KUMAR MOHANTY
Reason: Authentication
Location: HIGH COURT OF ORISSA, CUTTACK
Date: 29-Oct-2026 12:44:53