IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
BLAPL No.8242 of 2026
CNR No. ODHC010596722026

Sukadev Parida @ Sukadeb Parida ..... ***Petitioner***

Represented by Adv. - Pravash Chandra Jena

-versus-

State of Odisha ..... ***Opposite Party***

Represented by Adv. -Mr. M.K.Mohanty, A.S.C.

CORAM:
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR MOHAPATRA

ORDER

Order No. **28.10.2026**

03. 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual /Physical Mode).

2. Heard learned counsel appearing for the Petitioner and learned Additional Standing Counsel appearing for the State-Opposite Party. Perused the materials placed before this Court.

3. The present bail application under Section 483 of B.N.S.S. has been filed by the Petitioner for regular bail in connection with C.T. Case No.448 of 2026, arising out of Bhograi P.S. Case No.177 of 2026, pending in the Court of learned NGN-Cum-J.M.F.C., Bhograi for alleged commission of offence punishable under Sections 329(2), 296, 118(1), 109(1), 351(2), 3(5) of B.N.S.

4. It is stated by the learned counsel for the Petitioner that the Petitioner has been languishing in custody since 03.04.2026. He further submitted that, in the meantime, the investigation has been concluded and the final charge sheet has been filed. Leaned counsel for the Petitioner at this juncture contended that the Petitioner does not have any similar criminal antecedent and that he belongs to the locality, therefore there is no chance of his absconding.

5. In course of his arguments, learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that the Petitioner and the injured are related to each other and that, owing to a misunderstanding, a scuffle took place between them, resulting in injuries to the injured. He further submits that, after receiving preliminary treatment, the injured was discharged from the hospital and is presently hale and hearty. In view of the aforesaid circumstances, learned counsel for the Petitioner prays that the Petitioner be enlarged on bail.

6. Learned Additional Standing Counsel on the other hand opposed the bail application of the Petitioner on the ground of gravity and seriousness of the allegation. He further contended that in the event the Petitioner is released on bail there is every likelihood that he might indulge in similar criminal offences. Accordingly, it was prayed that the bail application of the Petitioner be rejected at this juncture.

7. Perused the report submitted by the I.I.C., Bhograi Police Station, pursuant to the earlier direction of this Court. On

perusal of the said report, it appears that the injured, who had sustained injuries in the incident, has now fully recovered and is presently residing with his family members. The report further indicates that the injured, namely, Rama Chandra Parida, earns his livelihood by running a vegetable shop in the locality. The report of the I.I.C., Bhograi Police Station, dated 24.10.2026, is taken on record.

8. Having heard learned counsel for the respective parties and on careful consideration of the surrounding facts as well as materials on record, this Court is inclined to release the Petitioner on bail on furnishing a bail bond of Rs.40,000/- (Rupees Forty Thousand) with two local solvent sureties each for the like amount to the satisfaction of the learned court in seisin over the matter. It is open for the Court in seisin over the matter to impose any other conditions as may be deemed just and proper. Violation of any of the terms and conditions shall entail cancellation of bail.

9. The BLAPL is, accordingly, disposed of.

(A.K. Mohapatra)

Judge

Rubi

Signature Not Verified
Digitally Signed
Signed by: RUBI BEHERA
Reason: Authentication
Location: OHC
Date: 30-Oct-2026 15:17:04