IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
FAO No.870 of 2019
CNR No.ODHC010595732019

State of Odisha and Another …. **Appellants**

Mr. S.K. Parhi, ASC

-Versus-

Gouranga Charan Behera and Another …. **Respondents**

Mr. H.K. Rout, Advocate

CORAM:
MR. JUSTICE R.K. PATTANAIK

ORDER
04.11.2026

I.A. No.1159 of 2019

Order No.

05. 1. Heard Mr. Parhi, learned ASC appearing for the appellants & Mr. Rout, learned counsel appearing for the respondents.

2. Instant I.A. is filed under Section 5 of the Limitation Act seeking condonation of delay for the reason stated.

3. A delay of 485 days is reported as per the SR.

4. Recorded the submissions of learned counsels for the respective parties. Considering the grounds pleaded in the I.A with the explanation offered towards the delay, this Court, recording the objection of the State, is inclined to condone the same subject to payment of cost of Rs.1000/- to the Juvenile Justice Fund managed by the Odisha State Legal Services Authority. Consequently, the I.A. stands allowed with the delay being condoned.

(R.K. Pattanaik)
Judge

06. **FAO No.870 of 2019**

1. Heard Mr. Parhi, learned ASC for the State & Mr. Rout, learned counsel for the respondents.

2. Instant appeal is filed under Section 24(C) of the Odisha Education Act, 1969 by the appellant assailing the impugned order dated 21st April, 2018 passed by the learned State Education Tribunal, Odisha, Bhubaneswar in G.I.A. Case No.662 of 2013 and to direct respondent Nos.1 & 2 to release grant-in-aid in favour of the appellant from the date of his eligibility i.e. w.e.f. 1st June, 1994 as per Grant-in-Aid Order, 1994 instead of Block Grant w.e.f. 1st February, 2009 as per Grant-in-Aid Order, 2009, keeping in view the decision of this Court in **State of Odisha and another Vrs. Ratnakar Mohapatra and another** (FAO No.509 of 2014) disposed of on 19th March, 2025 along with batch of cases and such other grounds stated.

3. Mr. Rout, learned counsel submits that challenging the denial of financial benefits, this Court considering the same in FAO No.509 of 2014 held and concluded that the decision in **State of Odisha and another Vrs. Anup Kumar Senapati and another (2019) 19 SCC 626** is not an absolute bar if there has been recommendation for Grant-in-Aid under the GIA order 1994 is prior to the repealing of the same. Mr. Rout, learned counsel would further submit that the decision therein of this Court has been upheld by the Apex Court **in Muslemin Mahammad and others vrs. State of Odisha and others** and batch of cases arising out of SLP(C) Diary No.6943 of 2026.

The submission is that the Grant-in-Aid is permissible in view of the decision in **Ratnakar Mohapatra** (supra) upheld by the Apex Court subject to verification of the recommendation made prior to the repeal of GIA order 1994. The further submission is that such orders have been set aside by this Court after the decision in **Ratnakar Mohapatra** (supra) has been upheld by the Apex Court. One of such orders in FAO No.729 of 2026 (**Sambhu Prasad Das Vrs. State of Odisha and others**) dated 29th June, 2026 is produced by Mr. Das, learned counsel for the appellant School at the time of hearing and the same is gone through.

4. Response of the State is recorded.

5. Having regard to the above facts pleaded on record and submission of Mr. Parhi, learned ASC for the appellants School and that the order in FAO No.509 of 2014 has been finally upheld by the Apex Court in **Muslemin Mahammad**(supra), this Court is not inclined to set aside the impugned order at Annexure-1 since the factual back ground of the present case is identical to the one involved therein.

6. Accordingly, it is ordered.

7. In the result, the appeal stands dismissed.

8. Urgent copy of this order be issued as per rules.

(R.K. Pattanaik)
Judge

Signature Not Verified
Digitally Signed
Signed by: MADHUSMITA MALLICK
Designation: Jr. Stenographer
Reason: Authentication
Location: HIGH COURT OF ORISSA, CUTTACK
Date: 06-Nov-2026 16:31:17

Madhusmita