IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
EXP No.20 of 2024
CNR No. ODHC010501372024

Premananda Panigrahi **.....** ***Petitioner***

Represented by Adv. – Mr. N.Sarkar

-versus-

Commnr. Cum Secy. to Govt.,S and M.E.Deptt., Bhubaneswar **.....** ***Opposite Parties***

Represented by Adv. –Mr. S.J. Mohanty

CORAM:
REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)
ORDER
29.10.2026

Order No.

21. Learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.S.C. are present.

Heard both sides and perused the case record. As it reveals this execution petition was filed by the petitioner to execute the order passed by the Hon'ble court in W.P.(C)No. 1077 of 2023 on 18.01.23. The relevant portion of the said order reads as follows;-

Para-5;-

"As agreed to by learned counsel for the parties, however, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this writ petition stands disposed of directing opposite party no.1 to consider the representation filed by the petitioner vide Annexure-11, taking into consideration Annexure-8 and pass appropriate order in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of production of certified copy of this order.

Issue urgent certified copy as per rules."

During course of hearing of the case it was submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the opposite parties are deliberately avoiding to execute the order passed since last three and half years. Though the decree holder, Shri Premananda Panigrahi, aged about 65 years, is running from pillar to post to secure the benefits of the order dated 18.01.2023 passed by the Hon'ble Court in W.P.(C) No. 1077 of 2023 and common judgment dated 25.08.2022 passed in W.P.(C) (OAC) No.2709 of 2016 he is yet to receive a single penny.

To the contrary it was submitted by the learned A.S.C that it is not the O.P.s who are at fault. Rather it's the petitioner who is not cooperating the O.P.s and is neither submitting the required documents as required to pay the arrear dues as claimed by him nor putting his signatures on the relevant documents. To substantiate his submission learned A.S.C would draw the attention of the Court to the letter issued by the D.E.O, Ganjam, Berhampur along with the letters issued to the petitioner seeking his cooperation along with all other connected documents.

The perusal of the above documents would go to show that it is not the O.P.s who are really at fault rather it's the petitioner who is not co-operating. In view of the above there remains nothing to be proceeded further with this case. The petitioner is advised to co-operate with the O.P.s for receiving the benefits of the lis. And if he faces any hurdle in receiving his alms, he is at liberty to knock the door of the court for redressal of his grievance.

Accordingly this execution petition is dropped.

Registrar (Judicial)
Lawzima