IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
BLAPL No.7593 of 2026
CNR No. ODHC010480702026

Sridhar Nayak **.....** ***Petitioner***

Represented by Adv. –
Mr. Sanjit Mishra

-versus-

State of Odisha **.....** ***Opposite Party***

Represented by Adv. –

Mr. Tapas Kumar
Acharya, ASC

CORAM:
MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR MOHAPATRA

ORDER
04.11.2026

Order No.

02. 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual /Physical Mode).

2. Heard learned counsel appearing for the Petitioner and learned Additional Standing Counsel appearing for the State-Opposite Party. Perused the bail application as well as the documents annexed thereto.

3. The present bail application under Section 483 of B.N.S.S., 2023 has been filed by the Petitioner for regular bail in connection with S.T. Case No.7/11 of 2026, arising out of Baselisahi P.S. Case No.208 of 2025, corresponding to G.R. Case No.832 of 2025, pending in the court of learned J.M.F.C. (Cog.II), Puri, for alleged commission of offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 296, 109(1), 308(4), 308(5), 121(1),

351(3), 3(5) of B.N.S. Act, 2023.

4. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the Petitioner that the Petitioner is languishing in jail custody since 11.10.2025. He further submitted that in the meantime investigation has been concluded and final charge sheet has been filed. Further, referring to the injury report, learned counsel for the Petitioner submitted that the injuries sustained by the injured are simple in nature. He further contended that although the Petitioner is having some criminal antecedents, however he is ready and willing to abide by any stringent terms and conditions as would be deemed just and proper by this Court in the event he is released on bail.

5. Learned counsel for the Petitioner further referring to the allegation made in the F.I.R., submitted before this Court that the allegation against the present Petitioner is exaggerated. He further submitted that the injuries sustained by the injured are simple in nature and that no case under Section 109(1) of B.N.S. is made out against the present Petitioner. He further contended that one co-accused, namely, Rakesh Nayak, who stands in similar footing with the present Petitioner, has already been released on bail vide order dated 12.02.2025 passed by the learned Sessions Judge, Puri in B.A. No.1798 of 2025. So far the present Petitioner is concerned, his bail application was rejected by the trial court on ground that he is having several criminal antecedents including case under Section 109 of BNS.

6. Learned counsel for the State, on the other hand, objected

to the release of the Petitioner on bail on the ground of gravity and seriousness of the allegation. He further contended that the Petitioner is a habitual offender and that in the event the Petitioner is released on bail, there is every likelihood that either he might abscond from justice or indulge in similar nature of offences. On such grounds, it is submitted that the prayer for bail of the Petitioner be rejected at this juncture.

7. Having regard to the submissions made by the learned counsels appearing for the respective parties, on a careful examination of the nature and gravity of the allegation as well as the role of the Petitioner in the alleged crime, further taking note of the injury as well as the fact that charge sheet has been filed, this Court is inclined to release the Petitioner on bail.

8. Hence, it is directed that the Petitioner be released on bail in the aforesaid case on furnishing bail bond of Rs.50,000/- (Rupees fifty thousand) with two local solvent sureties each for the like amount to the satisfaction of the Court in seisin over the matter. While imposing conditions, the court in seisin over the matter shall also impose the following additional conditions:-

I. He shall not indulge in similar criminal activities while on bail;

II. He shall appear before the local police station once in a fortnight, preferably on 'Sunday' in between 10.00 A.M. to 1.00 P.M. for a period three months, thereafter once in a month for a

period of three months;

III. He shall not threaten/harass/terrorise any of the prosecution witnesses in any manner while on bail; and

IV. He shall appear before the trial court as and when his presence is required for the purpose of trial.

9. It is open for the Court in seisin over the matter to impose any other conditions as it may be deemed just and proper. Violation of any of the conditions imposed either by this Court or the court in seisin over the matter shall entail cancellation of the bail.

10. The BLAPL is, accordingly, disposed of.

Issue urgent certified copy of this order as per Rules.

(A.K. Mohapatra)
Judge

Debasis

Signature Not Verified
Digitally Signed
Signed by: DEBASIS AECH
Designation: SECRETARY
Reason: Authentication
Location: ORISSA HIGH COURT
Date: 07-Nov-2026 16:48:12