IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
WP(C) No.19677 of 2026
CNR No. ODHC010458842026

Panchami Barik **.....** ***Petitioner***

Represented by Adv. - Biren Sankar Tripathy

-versus-

State Of Odisha & Ors **.....** ***Opposite Parties***

Represented by Adv. Mr. A.Sethy, A.S.C.

CORAM:
MR. JUSTICE SASHIKANTA MISHRA

ORDER
05.11.2026

Order No. 3

1. This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Additional Standing Counsel for the State.

3. In order dated 29.10.2026 the date of *Amalnama Patta* be corrected as 07.09.1943.

4. The petitioner has approached this Court with the following prayer:

> *"The petitioner, therefore, most respectfully prays that your Lordships may graciously be pleased to admit this writ application and issue rule nisi to the Ops to show cause as to why the impugned order dtd. 17.11.23 passed in settlement revision No. 122/13 under annexure-3 series shall not be quashed and further a direction shall not be issued to the Ops, specifically OP No.2 to reconsider the case of the petitioner and correct the Hal ROR by recording the name of the petitioner in respect of the case land;*

And on their failing to show cause or showing insufficient case, the said rules be made absolute by issuing appropriate writ(s);
And pass such further order/orders as may be deemed just and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case;
And allow this writ petition with costs;
And for this act of kindness the petitioner shall, as in duty bound, ever pray."

5. Since the petitioner has produced the original *Amalnama Patta* before this Court in course of hearing, which was not produced before the Revisional Court on the ground of ignorance, this Court deems it proper to grant an opportunity to the petitioner to seek recall of the impugned judgment on such grounds.

6. The writ application is disposed of granting liberty to the petitioner to submit an application before the Revisional Court seeking recall of the impugned judgment along with a copy of the *Amalnama Patta.* If the Commissioner is satisfied that the petitioner was prevented by sufficient cause from not producing the said document earlier, he shall allow the application and take the document on record and thereafter proceed to hear the revision on merits afresh.

7. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case.

(Sashikanta Mishra)
Judge

Signature Not Verified
Digitally Signed
Signed by: DEEPAK PARIDA
Reason: Authentication
Location: OHC,Cuttack
Date: 06-Nov-2026 15:19:04
Deepak