IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
WP(C) No.10680 of 2026

Sujata Sasmal @ Sujata Mallik ..... ***Petitioner***

Represented by Adv. -
Arjuna Charana Behera

-versus-

State Of Odisha and others ..... ***Opposite Parties***

Mr. S. Behera, AGA

CORAM:
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR MOHAPATRA

ORDER
09.04.2026

Order No.

01. 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid mode.

2. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner as well as learned counsel for the State-Opposite Parties. Perused the writ application as well as the documents annexed thereto.

3. The Petitioner has filed the present writ application with the following prayer:

> *"Therefore, it is respectfully prayed that this Hon'ble Court may graciously be pleased to Admit this Writ Petition, issue rule Nisi for calling upon the opp. Parties, particularly opp. Party No.4 for show cause as to why the direction will not be issued for consideration of the grievance of the petitioner by providing engagement to her in the post of Shishu Sebika in Kamargadia UGUP School. This Hon'ble Court be pleased to make its Rule absolute if the said opp. Party fails to submit sufficient cause or*

show insufficient cause and after hearing from the parties, this Hon'ble court may direct in the writ of Mandamus to the opp. Party No. 4 to make a direction to the opp. Party No. 5 for providing engagement to the petitioner in the post of Shishu Sebika within a stipulated time. This Hon'ble Court may further be pleased to direct to the opp. Party No. 4 to dispose of the representation dtd.26.03.2026 under Annexure 3 within a stipulated time by providing an adequate opportunity of hearing to the petitioner for the interest of justice.

This Hon'ble Court be pleased to pass any order(s) may direct any direction as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit just and proper in this case."

4. In course of hearing of the writ application, learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that the Petitioner ventilating his grievance has submitted representation before the Opposite Party No.4 under Annexure-3. It is also submitted by the learned counsel for the Petitioner that the said representation is pending as of now. It is also submitted by the learned counsel for the Petitioner that a direction be issued to the Opposite Party No.4 to consider the representation of the Petitioner under Annexure-3 within a stipulated period of time.

5. Learned counsel for the State submits that he has no objection if the representation of the Petitioner is considered by the Opposite Party No.4, which is stated to be pending, in accordance with law within a stipulated period of time.

6. Considering the limited nature of grievance of the Petitioner, the writ application is disposed of at the stage of admission with a

direction to the Opposite Party No.4 to consider the representation of the Petitioner under Annexure-3 within a period of eight weeks from the date of production of certified copy of this order. The Opposite Party No.4 shall do well to dispose of the representation of the Petitioner under Annexure-3 by passing a speaking and reasoned order. The decision so taken by the Opposite Party No.4 be also communicated to the Petitioner within two weeks thereafter.

7. With the aforesaid observations/directions, the writ application stands disposed of.

Issue urgent certified copy of this order as per Rules.

(Aditya Kumar Mohapatra)
Judge

S.K. Rout

Signature Not Verified
Digitally Signed
Signed by: SANTANU KUMAR ROUT
Reason: Authentication
Location: High Court of Orissa, Cuttack
Date: 15-Apr-2026 14:19:58