IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

BLAPL No.3034 of 2026

CNR No. ODHC010227792026

Adma Kurami ..... ***Petitioner***

Represented by Adv. - Sarbeswar Sahoo

-versus-

State of Orissa ..... ***Opposite Parties***

Smt. S. Nayak, ASC

CORAM:
MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR MOHAPATRA

ORDER
18.11.2026

Order No.

05. 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid mode.

2. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner as well as learned counsel for the State. Perused the application as well as documents annexed thereto.

3. The present bail application under Section 439 of Cr.P.C, has been filed by the Petitioner for regular bail relating to Malkangiri P.S. Case No.60 of 2021, corresponding to G.R. Case No.145 of 2021 which further corresponding to C.T. Case No.165 of 2021, pending before the learned Sessions Judge-cum-Special Judge, Malkangiri, for alleged commission of offence punishable under Sections 302 & 34 of IPC.

4. On perusal of the record, it appears that earlier the Petitioner had earlier approached this Court by filing BLAPL No.9468 of 2021 which was permitted to be withdrawn vide order dated 11.05.2022. Thereafter, again moved another bail application bearing BLAPL No.7448 of 2022 which was also permitted to be withdrawn vide order dated 17.08.2023. The Petitioner again approached this Court by filing BLAPL No.6496 of 2024 which was disposed of by a Coordinate Bench vide order dated 25.05.2025. Such bail application was rejected by the learned Coordinate Bench. Thereafter, the Petitioner again filed another bail application bearing BLAPL No.7984 of 2025 which was also disposed of on 30.10.2025 by the Coordinate Bench again rejecting the bail application of the Petitioner. Finally, the present bail application has been filed at the instance of the Petitioner again with a prayer for his release on bail.

5. On perusal of the record, it appears that there are certain materials against the present Petitioner including the statement of one of the eye witnesses who has already examined as P.W-7. Learned counsel for the Petitioner, at this juncture, contended that the Petitioner is languishing in custody since 26.02.2021 and that in the meantime only twelve witnesses have been examined out of twenty-eight prosecution witnesses.

6. Taking into consideration the period of custodial detention and the case at which the trial is progressing, this Court disposes of the bail application by directing the learned trial Court to expedite the trial and conclude the same as expeditiously as possible preferably by end of February, 2027, failing which liberty is granted to the Petitioner to move fresh bail application. In the event any such application is filed, the same shall be considered on its own merit

without being influenced by the earlier rejection orders and taking note of the delay in conclusion of the trial.

7. Accordingly, the BLAPL stands disposed of.

Issue urgent certified copy as per Rules.

(Aditya Kumar Mohapatra)
Judge

S.K. Rout

Signature Not Verified
Digitally Signed
Signed by: SANTANU KUMAR ROUT
Reason: Authentication
Location: High Court of Orissa, Cuttack
Date: 18-Nov-2026 19:18:29