IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
WP(C) No. 8716 of 2026

Dilip Kumar Jena **.....** ***Petitioner***

Mr. S.K. Singh, Advocate

-versus-

Union of India & Ors. **.....** ***Opposite Parties***

Mr. P.K. Parhi, DSGI
along with
Mr. D.R. Bhokta, CGC

CORAM:
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY
ORDER
10.04.2026

Order No. 01

1. This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

2. Heard Mr. S.K. Singh, learned counsel appearing for the Petitioner and Mr. P.K. Parhi, learned DSGI along with Mr. D.R. Bhokta, learned CGC appearing for the Opp. Parties.

3. The present writ petition has been filed inter alia with the following prayer:-

> *"It is therefore humbly prayed that, this Hon'ble Court may be graciously be pleased to issue a Rule Nissi calling upon the opposite parties to show cause as to why the order of transfer under Annexture-4 and order of rejection under annexure-6 shall not be quashed and further why the petitioner shall not be allowed to continue at the present place of posting i.e., GC, CRPF, Bhubaneswar, till his superannuation and if the opposite parties fails to show cause or show insufficient cause, the rule may be made absolute by quashing the illegal order of transfer dtd.26.12.2025 under Annexure-4 and order of rejection dtd.09.02.2026 under annexure-6.*

And may be pleased to Pass any other appropriate order/orders as deemed fit just and proper for the interest of Justice.

And for this act of kindness the petitioner as in duty bound shall ever prays."

4. It is contended that Petitioner while continuing in service when he was put under transfer vide order dtd.14.01.2023 under Annexure-2, he made a detailed application under Annexure-5 with a prayer to cancel the same. But without assigning any reason whatsoever, Petitioner's claim has been rejected vide the impugned order under Annexure-6 dtd.09.02.2026.

4.1. Learned counsel appearing for the Petitioner contended that since while rejecting the Petitioner's claim vide the impugned order, no reason has been assigned and it is a non-speaking one, the same is not sustainable in the eye of law. It is accordingly contended that Petitioner's claim is required to be considered afresh with passing of a reasoned and speaking order.

5. Learned DSGI though supported the impugned order, but failed to satisfy this Court that any reason has been assigned while rejecting the claim of the Petitioner so made under Annexure-5.

6. Having heard learned counsel appearing for the Parties, considering the submission made and since it is found that no reason has been assigned while rejecting the application filed by the Petitioner under Annexure-3 against the impugned order of transfer dtd.14.01.2023, this Court on that ground only, is inclined to quash the same. While quashing the impugned order dtd.09.02.2026, this Court remits the

matter to Opp. Party No. 4 to take a fresh decision on the Petitioner's claim with passing of a reasoned and speaking order.

6.1. Since it is fairly contended that all such order of transfer has been kept in abeyance till 15th May, it is expected that a fresh order will be passed before the said date.

7. The writ petition accordingly stands disposed of.

(BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY)
Judge

Sneha

Signature Not Verified
Digitally Signed
Signed by: SNEHANJALI PARIDA
Reason: Authentication
Location: High Court of Orissa, Cuttack
Date: 15-Apr-2026 18:40:02