IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No.7234 of 2026

CNR No.ODHC010159742026

Purna Chandra Patra

.... ***Petitioner***

Mr. K.K. Bhuyan, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha & Ors.

.... ***Opposite Parties***

Mr. C.K. Pradhan, AGA

Mr. G. Sethi, Adv. for O.P.3 & 4

CORAM:
JUSTICE BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY
ORDER
06.11.2026

Order No.

06. **1.** This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual/Physical) Mode.

2. Heard learned counsel appearing for the Parties.

3. Counter affidavit filed by the learned Addl. Government Advocate be kept in record. Copy of the counter affidavit is provided to the learned counsel appearing for the Petitioner in Court today.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the Petitioner contended that Petitioner along with one Asit Kumar Pradhan were dismissed from their services because of their implication in Reganli P.S. Case No.151 dtd.29.08.2020. Such order of dismissal, was confirmed by the appellate authority as well as the by the revisional authority. Challenging the order of

dismissal passed on 06.09.2020 by Opposite Party No.4 under Annexure-3, further confirmed by the appellate authority Opposite Party No.3 in his order dtd.03.12.2022 under Annexure-5 and by the revisional authority vide his order dtd.16.02.2026 under Annexure-10, the present Writ Petition has been filed.

4.1. It is contended that since the present Petitioner along Asit Kumar Pradhan were acquitted in the criminal proceeding, this Court was allowed the claim of Asit Kumar Pradhan, Petitioner in W.P.(C) No.25540 of 2026, vide its judgment dtd.27.10.2026.

4.2. It is accordingly contended that since Petitioner is similarly situated as like the Petitioner in W.P.(C) No.25540 of 2026, with quashing of the impugned order so passed under Annexure-3, upheld vide order under Annexures- 5 & 10, the Writ Petition be disposed of in the light of the judgment dtd.27.10.2026 passed in W.P.(C) No.25540 of 2026.

5. Even though learned Addl. Government Advocate for the State raised his objection to the submission made by the learned counsel appearing for the Petitioner, but this Court finds that the present Petitioner and the Petitioner in W.P.(C) No.25540 of 2026 were dismissed from their services, because of

their implication in Rengali P.S. Case No.151, dtd.29.08.2020.

6. Considering the fact that claim of Asit Kumar Pradhan has been allowed by this Court in its judgment dtd.27.10.2026, taking into account the order of acquittal, with quashing of the impugned order passed under Annexure-3, so upheld vide order under Annexures-5 and 10, this Court disposes of the Writ Petition in the light of the judgment dtd.27.10.2026 in W.P.(C) No.25540 of 2026.

7. Accordingly, the Writ Petition stands disposed of.

(Biraja Prasanna Satapathy)
Judge

Subrat

Signature Not Verified
Digitally Signed
Signed by: SUBRAT KUMAR BARIK
Reason: Authentication
Location: HIGH COURT OF ORISSA, CUTTACK
Date: 10-Nov-2026 14:59:03